arXiv:1603.06545v2 [math.DG] 23 Mar 2016

RICCI FLOW ON SINGULAR MANIFOLDS

BORIS VERTMAN

Abstract. In this paper we prove local existence of a Ricci flow starting at an incomplete manifold with edge singularities and bounded Ricci curvature, flowing for a short time within a class of incomplete edge manifolds. We derive regularity properties for the corresponding family of Riemannian metrics and establish boundedness of the Riemannian curvature tensor along the flow. For Riemannian metrics that are sufficiently close to a Ricci flat incomplete edge metric, we prove long time existence of the Ricci flow. Our results in particular include the case of spaces with isolated conical singularities. The proof works by a careful analysis of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and the Ricci de Turck flow equation.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main result 1
2. Laplacians on symmetric 2-tensors over an exact cone 8
3. Mean value theorem on edge manifolds 16
4. Mapping properties of the Lichnerowicz heat operator 17
5. Short time existence of the Ricci de Turck flow 37
6. Singular edge structure of the Ricci de Turck flow 41
7. Passing from the Ricci de Turck to the Ricci flow 41
8. Evolution of the Riemannian curvature tensor along the flow 42
9. Small perturbation of Ricci flat edge metrics 43
References 45

1. Introduction and statement of the main result

Geometric flows have attracted considerable interest and have been in the focus of extensive research in recent years, among all most notably the Ricci flow which provided the decisive tool in the proof of Thurston's geometrization and the Poincare conjectures. In the present discussion we are interested in the Ricci flow of a class of compact manifolds with incomplete edge singular Riemannian metrics.

Such singular Ricci flows, which stay in a class of singular spaces, have been considered on Kähler manifolds in connection to a recent resolution of the Calabi-Yau conjecture on Fano manifolds by Donaldson [Don11] and Tian [Tia12], see also Jeffres, Mazzeo and Rubinstein [JMR11] for a proof of existence of Kähler Einstein metrics on

Date: March 24, 2016.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44; 58J35; 35K08.

edge manifolds using microlocal arguments. In a related paper, Liu [LiZh14] studies the conical Kähler Ricci flow.

In two dimensions Ricci flow reduces to the scalar Yamabe flow equation and has been studied by Mazzeo, Rubinstein and Sesum in [MRS11] and Yin [Yin10]. Yamabe flow of singular edge manifolds in general dimension has been studied by the author in a joint work with Bahuaud in [BaVe14]. In the subsequent paper [BaVe15] we study the long time behaviour of Yamabe flow of edge manifolds to attack the Yamabe problem. Yamabe problem using elliptic methods has been studied by Akutagawa and Botvinnik in [AkBo03] in case of isolated conical singularities, as well as by Akutagawa, Carron and Mazzeo in [ACM12] on edge manifolds.

In the singular setting, Ricci flow loses its uniqueness and alternatively to our treatment, Giesen-Topping [GiTo10, GiTo11] obtained a solution to the Ricci flow on surfaces starting at a singular metric that becomes instantaneously complete. Moreover, Simon [Sim13] studied Ricci flow in dimension two and three that smoothens out the singularity.

The setting of singular edge manifolds of dimension higher than two, which are not necessarily Kähler, is much more complicated since the Ricci flow equation does not reduce to a scalar equation and one is forced to study an equation of tensors. The present paper provides a first step into this direction and establishes short time existence of Ricci flow starting at and preserving a class of incomplete edge metrics with bounded Ricci curvature. We point out that our analysis in particular applies to the setting of isolated conical singularities.

We now proceed with an introduction into the basic geometry of incomplete edge spaces, definition of Hölder spaces in which short time existence of Ricci flow is established, and formulation of the main results.

1.1. Incomplete edge singularities.

Definition 1.1. Consider an open interior M of a compact manifold with boundary ∂M. Let $\mathscr{U} = (0,1)_x \times \partial M$ be a tubular neighborhood of the boundary in M with the radial function $x : \mathscr{U} \to (0,1)$. Assume ∂M is the total space of a fibration $\phi : \partial M \to B$ with the base B and fibre F being compact smooth manifolds, $\dim F \geq 1$. Consider a smooth Riemannian metric g_B on the base manifold B and a symmetric 2-tensor g_F on ∂M which restricts to a fixed Riemannian metric on the fibres. By a small abuse of notation, we write g_F for the Riemannian metric on fibres as well. An incomplete edge metric g on M is defined here to be a smooth Riemannian metric such that $g = \overline{g} + h$ with $|h|_{\overline{g}} = O(x)$ and

$$\overline{g} \restriction \mathscr{U} = dx^2 + x^2 g_F + \phi^* g_B.$$

We call such an edge metric *admissible* if the fibration $\phi : (\partial M, g^F + \phi^* g^B) \to (B, g^B)$ is a Riemannian submersion in the following sense. Split the tangent bundle $T_p\partial M$ canonically into vertical and horizontal subspaces $T_p^V \partial M \oplus T_p^H \partial M$. The vertical subspace $T_p^V \partial M$ is the tangent space to the fibre of ϕ through p, and the horizontal subspace $T_p^H \partial M$ is the annihilator of the subbundle $T_p^V \partial M \lrcorner g^F \subset T^*\partial M$ ($\lrcorner$ denotes

contraction). Then ϕ is a Riemannian submersion if the tensor g_F restricted to $T_p^H\partial M$ vanishes. Note that in contrast to the corresponding analysis of the Yamabe flow in [BaVe14], we do not impose further assumptions on the metric to define admissibility. In fact other conditions on the metric g will be imposed below and are connected to assumption of bounded curvature as well as the spectral analysis of the associated Lichnerowicz Laplace operator.

1.2. Geometry of incomplete edge spaces. Choose local coordinates in the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ as follows. Consider local coordinates (y) on B, lifted to M and then extended inwards to the interior. Let coordinates (z) restrict to local coordinates on fibres F. This defines local coordinates (x, y, z) in the neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$.

Consider the Lie algebra of edge vector fields $\mathcal{V}_e$, which by definition are smooth in the interior and at the boundary ∂M tangent to the fibres of the fibration. In local coordinates, $\mathcal{V}_e$ is locally generated by

$$\left\{x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, x\frac{\partial}{\partial y_b}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_f}\right\},$$

with coefficients in the linear combinations of the derivatives being by definition smooth on $\overline{M}$. The complete edge tangent bundle ${}^eTM$ is defined by requiring that the edge vector fields $\mathcal{V}_e$ form a spanning set of sections $\mathcal{V}_e = C^\infty(M, {}^eTM)$. The dual to ${}^eTM$ is denoted by ${}^eT^*M$ and is generated locally by the following one-forms

$$\left\{\frac{dx}{x}, \frac{dy_1}{x}, \dots, \frac{dy_b}{x}, dz_1, \dots, dz_f\right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

These differential one-forms, though singular in the usual sense, are smooth as sections of ${}^eT^*M$. We can now define the incomplete edge tangent space ${}^{ie}TM$ by asking $C^\infty(M, {}^{ie}TM) = x^{-1}C^\infty(M, {}^eTM)$. Its dual, the incomplete edge cotangent space ${}^{ie}T^*M$ is related to its complete counterpart by $C^\infty(M, {}^{ie}T^*M) = xC^\infty(M, {}^eT^*M)$, and is spanned locally by

$$\{dx, dy_1, \dots, dy_b, xdz_1, \dots, xdz_f\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Observe that the incomplete edge metric g can be viewed as a smooth section of the symmetric 2-tensors on ${}^{ie}T^*M$, which we write as $g \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$. We adopt such a convention of Riemannian metrics viewed as sections of $\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$ from now whenever we don't say otherwise. Note also that the generators of ${}^{ie}TM$ and ${}^{ie}T^*M$ are of bounded length with respect to the Riemannian metric g and its inverse.

Definition 1.2. An incomplete edge manifold (M, g) with an admissible edge metric is said to be of bounded geometry if

(i) Riemannian curvature $(4, 0)$ tensor acting on ${}^{ie}TM$ is $O(x^{-1})$ as $x \to 0$,
(ii) the Ricci curvature acting on ${}^{ie}TM$ is bounded up to the edge at $x = 0$.

Note that we do not impose boundedness on the full Riemannian curvature tensor, which can still be singular for the Ricci flow to exist.

The assumption of bounded Ricci curvature is satisfied naturally in certain geometric settings with a codimension one edge singularity.

In the case of a codimension two singularity, the normal bundle NB of B inside TM is a fibre bundle over B with the fibre being a two-dimensional disc $\mathbb{D}^2$. The involution on $\mathbb{D}^2$ defines a global action σ on the normal bundle NB, which may now be viewed as a branched covering of itself. Any σ-invariant smooth metric on NB descends to a singular edge metric on $NB/_\sigma$ and extends smoothly to M. This defines an orbifold metric with incomplete edge singularity and bounded geometry. In a more general setting, any singularity covered by a smooth branched covering space admits a singular metric of bounded Ricci curvature.

Another quite explicit example is the case of a knot $\mathbb{S}^1$ embedded into $\mathbb{S}^3$ or any other orientable 3-manifold. The normal bundle of $\mathbb{S}^1$ is trivial and may be equipped with an edge metric of any given angle. The fibres of the normal bundle are flat two-dimensional cones and the resulting metric, smoothly extended away from the singularity, is of bounded geometry.

Let us point out the assumption of a bounded geometry is obviously satisfied in the geometric setting of $g \restriction \mathscr{U}$ being a higher order perturbation of a Ricci-flat incomplete edge metric.

1.3. Hölder spaces on singular manifolds.

Definition 1.3. The Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T]), \alpha\in(0,1)$, consists of functions $u(p,t)$ that are continuous on $M\times[0,T]$ with finite α-th Hölder norm[1]

$$\|u\|_\alpha := \|u\|_\infty + \sup\left(\frac{|u(p,t)-u(p',t')|}{d_M(p,p')^\alpha + |t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where the distance function $d_M(p,p')$ between any two points $p,p'\in M$ is defined with respect to the incomplete edge metric g, and in terms of the local coordinates (x,y,z) in the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ given equivalently by

$$d_M((x,y,z),(x',y',z')) = \left(|x-x'|^2 + (x+x')^2|z-z'|^2 + |y-y'|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The supremum is taken over all $(p,p',t)\in M^2\times[0,T]$.

We wish to explain in what way the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ introduced above, may be defined locally. Consider any finite cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $\overline{M}$ by open coordinate charts and a partition of unity $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in J}$ subordinate to that cover. We can define a Hölder norm by

$$\|u\|^\phi_\alpha := \sum_{j\in J}\|\phi_j u\|_\alpha.$$

Such a norm is equivalent to our original Hölder norm, since for any tuple $(p,p')\in M^2$ with distance $d_M(p,p')>\delta$ bounded away from zero, the quotient in the second summand of the formula (1.3) is bounded by $2\delta^{-1}\|u\|_\infty$. Consequently, we may assume without loss of generality that the tuples (p,p') are always taken from within the same coordinate patch of a given atlas.

[1]Finiteness of the Hölder norm $\|u\|_\alpha$ in particular implies that u is continuous on the closure $\overline{M}$ up to the edge singularity, and the supremum may be taken over $(p,p',t)\in\overline{M}^2\times[0,T]$.

We also need a notion of Hölder spaces with values in the vector bundle S of symmetric trace-free 2-tensors $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$, with an fibrewise inner product h induced by the Riemannian metric g. We say $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], S)$, if for a local orthonormal frame $\{s_j\}$ on S, the scalar functions $h(\omega, s_j)$ are $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T])$.

We now introduce two types of higher order Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T])$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], S)$, which specify regularity of solutions under application of edge vector fields $\mathcal{V}_e$. More precisely, we define

$$\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T]) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \{x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e^2, x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e, \sqrt{t}\partial_t, \mathrm{id}\}\, u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], S) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \{x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e^2, x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e, x^{-1}, \sqrt{t}\partial_t, \mathrm{id}\}\, u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}\}.$$

where differentiation is understood a priori in the distributional sense. Both Hölder spaces are similar but not the same. The fundamental difference is that $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], S)$ imposes boundedness under multiplication by x^{-1}, while elements of $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T])$ in general do not stay bounded under x^{-1} multiplication.

In order to define the corresponding Hölder norms, we consider as before any finite cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $\overline{M}$ by open coordinate charts and a partition of unity $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in J}$ subordinate to that cover. By a small abuse of notation we now identify $\mathcal{V}_e$ with a finite set of generating edge vector fields, when applied to sections with compact support in $\mathscr{U}$; and write $\mathcal{V}_e$ for any local orthonormal frame of vector fields, when applied to sections with compact support in a coordinate chart with distance bounded from below away from the edge singularity. We may now introduce $\mathscr{D} := \{x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e^2, x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e, \sqrt{t}\partial_t, \mathrm{id}\}$ and $\mathscr{D}' := \{x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e^2, x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_e, x^{-1}, \sqrt{t}\partial_t, \mathrm{id}\}$. We can now write the Hölder norms on the higher order Hölder spaces as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2+\alpha} &= \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{X\in\mathscr{D}} \|X(\phi_j u)\|_\alpha, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T]), \\ \|u\|_{2+\alpha} &= \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{X\in\mathscr{D}'} \|X(\phi_j u)\|_\alpha, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], S). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Any different choice of coordinate charts and the subordinate partition of unity, as well as different choices of generating vector fields $\mathcal{V}_e$ define equivalent Hölder norms.

These higher order Hölder spaces do not specify regularity under application of Laplace operators. This gap is filled in a different type of Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}(M \times [0,T])$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}(M \times [0,T], S)$. The Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}(M \times [0,T])$ requires additionally regularity under application of the Laplace Beltrami operator Δ of (M,g) acting on functions. The Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}(M \times [0,T], S)$ replaces Δ with the Lichnerowicz Laplace operator Δ_L acting on symmetric trace-free 2-tensors $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$, an operator which will be discussed explicitly in the next section. More precisely, we introduce

$$\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}(M \times [0,T]) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \Delta u, \partial_t u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}(M \times [0,T], S) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \Delta_L u, \partial_t u \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}\}.$$

The corresponding Hölder norms are defined as above in (1.4) by taking summation over $X \in \mathscr{D} \cup \{\Delta, \partial_t\}$ for $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}$ and $X \in \mathscr{D}' \cup \{\Delta_L, \partial_t\}$ for $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$.

Such Hölder spaces, where the choice of allowable second order derivatives is restricted to the corresponding Laplace operators, have been an important tool in studying Kähler-Einstein edge metrics by [Don11, JMR11], used crucially for solving the Calabi conjecture on Fano manifolds, as well as in the discussion of the Yamabe flow by the first author jointly with Bahuaud [BaVe14]. Note that our Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}, \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$ here are slightly different from their first version in [BaVe14].

We conclude with a definition of Hölder spaces that are higher order with respect to additional application of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $t\partial_t$, more precisely we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} := \{u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}, t\partial_t\}^k u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\}, \qquad \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} = \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}, \\ &\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} := \{u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \mid \{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}, t\partial_t\}^k u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\}, \quad \mathcal{C}^{0,2+A}_{\mathrm{ie}} = \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

If we replace $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ with $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}$ or $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$ above, the corresponding higher Hölder spaces are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}$ or $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$, respectively. We can now refine the assumption of bounded geometry as follows.

Definition 1.4. An admissible incomplete edge space (M, g) is (α, k)-Hölder-regular if the following three conditions are satisfied

(i) components of the curvature $(4,0)$ tensor acting on ${}^{ie}TM$ are $x^{-1}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M)$,
(ii) the trace-free part of the Ricci curvature is an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}(M, S)$,
(iii) the scalar curvature lies in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}(M)$.

In case $k = 0$ we refer to (α, k)-Hölder-regular metrics simply as Hölder regular.

1.4. Existence of the singular Ricci flow. While of smooth compact manifolds, Ricci flow continues to be a focal point of intensive research, on singular spaces even existence of Ricci flow is an open problem. In this paper we study the Ricci de Turck flow which relates to the Ricci flow by a family of diffeomorphisms and defines a strongly parabolic equation.

Our first man result establishes short time existence of Ricci de Turck flow starting at an admissible incomplete edge metric of Hölder regular geometry and flowing through the space of singular metrics, which preserves the admissible edge structure and bounded geometry. The flow metric need not remain Hölder-regular though. The result holds under an additional assumption of tangential stability, which is a spectral condition imposed upon the Lichnerowicz Laplace operator introduced below in Definition 2.1.

Theorem 1.5. *Consider an incomplete edge manifold (M, g_0) with an admissible edge metric and Hölder-regular geometry, satisfying the assumption of tangential stability. Then for short time g_0 may be evolved under the Ricci de Turck flow into a family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ within the space of admissible edge metrics of bounded geometry and certain Hölder regularity for some finite time $T > 0$. If moreover, the Riemannian curvature tensor of g_0 is bounded, then the Riemannian curvature tensor of $g(t)$ is bounded as well.*

We will also address the relation between the Ricci de Turck and the Ricci flow. In fact, while the Ricci flow metric is still of bounded geometry, its Hölder regularity will be different from the Hölder regularity of the Ricci de Turck flow.

Our second main result concerns Ricci flow starting at metrics that are in a certain sense higher order small perturbations of Ricci flat incomplete edge metrics. In that case we actually obtain long time existence. In a forthcoming paper we intend to discuss stability, i.e. convergence of that flow to the Ricci flat edge metric.

Theorem 1.6. *Consider an incomplete edge manifold (M, h) with an admissible Ricci flat edge metric, satisfying the assumption of tangential stability. If g_0 is a higher order sufficiently small perturbation of h, then a Ricci de Turck flow $g(t)$ of admissible incomplete edge metrics with bounded Riemannian curvature, starting at g_0, exists for all time and stays in a small ε-neighborhood of h, uniformly in $t \geq 0$.*

In fact, Ricci flow through singular metrics has been studied by various authors in dimension two, e.g. by Mazzeo, Rubinstein and Sesum in [MRS11], the first author jointly with Bahuaud in [BaVe14]. Somewhat different from the approach taken here, is the work by Giesen and Topping on instantaneously complete Ricci flow in [GiTo10] and [GiTo11]. Another alternative approach has been taken by Miles Simon in [Sim13], where Ricci flow smoothens out any Lipschitz singularity instantly. Finally, in the setting of Kähler manifolds, Ricci flow has been considered by e.g. Li and Zhang [LiZh14], which is in strong connection to the exciting recent developments on existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds.

The idea of the proof for both Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 is to linearize the Ricci de Turck flow and apply Banach fixed point theorem in appropriate Hölder spaces. This uses strongly the mapping properties of the heat operator for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian, which differ strongly when the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acts on trace-free symmetric two-tensors and when it acts on pure trace tensors and reduces to the scalar Laplace Beltrami operator.

This paper is organized as follows. We begin with the analysis of the Lichnerowicz Laplace operator in §2 and construct a solution to its heat equation as a polyhomogeneous conormal distribution on a blown up heat space. In §4 we establish various mapping properties of the heat operator for the Lichnerowicz and the Laplace Beltrami operators. We employ these mapping properties to establish existence of a solution to the Ricci de Turck flow in §5 and show in §6 that this flow is indeed a flow of admissible incomplete edge metrics. Then §7 explains how to pass from the Ricci de Turck solution to the corresponding solution of the Ricci flow, along with a change in regularity. In §8 we show that the Riemannian curvature tensor of the Ricci de Turck flow is bounded for positive times, an aspect which will be crucial in subsequent maximum principle arguments. We conclude this paper with a long time existence result in §9 for Ricci flow of metrics that are sufficiently small perturbations of Ricci flat edge metrics.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks Burkhard Wilking, Christoph Böhm and Rafe Mazzeo for important discussions about aspects of Ricci flow and encouragement. He greatfully acknowledges support of the Mathematical Institute at Münster University.

2. Laplacians on symmetric 2-tensors over an exact cone

In this section we study the rough and the Lichnerowicz Laplace operators acting on symmetric 2-tensors over an exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F) := (0,1) \times F$ with an exact conical metric $dx^2 + x^2 g_F$. We provide explicit formulae and formulate assumptions that are necessary for the subsequent analytic arguments.

2.1. The rough Laplacian on symmetric 2-tensors. Consider for the moment any Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension m. We will specify M to be an exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ only later on in the argument. Let L denote any vector bundle associated to T^*M, for instance the bundle S of symmetric trace-free 2-tensors $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0(T^*M)$. Let ∇ denote the induced Levi-Civita connection acting on smooth compactly supported sections as

$$\nabla : C_0^\infty(M, L) \to C_0^\infty(M, L \otimes T^*M),$$
$$\nabla^2 : C_0^\infty(M, L) \to C_0^\infty(M, L \otimes T^*M \otimes T^*M).$$

The rough Laplacian Δ acing on smooth compactly supported sections of L is then defined as follows. Consider the pointwise inner product on fibres of L, induced by the Riemannian metric g on M. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ denote a local orthonormal frame of TM, where m is the dimension of M. Then the rough Laplacian Δ is given by

$$\Delta := -\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla^2_{e_i e_i} \equiv -\mathrm{tr}(\nabla^2). \tag{2.1}$$

Fix any point $p \in M$ and define a family of distance spheres $S_x(p) := \{q \in M \mid \mathrm{dist}_M(p,q) = x\}$, where the distance dist_M is defined canonically in terms of the Riemannian metric g, and $x > 0$ is sufficiently small. In case M is a conical manifold and p is chosen as the cone tip, the distance sphere $S_x(p)$ is simply the cross section of the cone at distance r from the singularity.

We specify the local orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in a neighborhood of p adapted to the distance spheres, such that $e_1 = \partial_x$ is the normal unit vector field at $S_x(p)$, and $\{e_i\}_{i=2}^m = \{\widehat{e}_i\}_{i=2}^m$ are tangent to $S_x(p)$ of unit length. One computes by using normal coordinates at any given point q of $S_x(p)$ (we employ the Einstein summation convention)

$$\nabla_{\widehat{e}_i} \widehat{e}_i(q) = -H_r(q)\partial_x, \tag{2.2}$$

where $H_x(q)$ denotes the mean curvature of the submanifold $S_x(p) \subset M$ at q. The mean curvature of distance spheres behaves asymptotically as $H_x(q) = \frac{(m-1)}{x} + O(x)$ as $x \to 0$, cf. [CLN06, Exercise 1.122]. Let X and Y denote any two smooth vector fields on M, and consider any $\omega \in C_0^\infty(M, S)$. Recall the fundamental geometric relation

$$\nabla^2_{X,Y}\omega = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y \omega) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}\omega.$$

Consequently we compute using $\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x = 0$ and the Einstein summation convention

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\nabla^2_{e_i e_i} = -\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i} + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} = -\nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_x} - \nabla_{\widehat{e}_i}\nabla_{\widehat{e}_i} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\widehat{e}_i}\widehat{e}_i} \\ &= -\nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_x} - \frac{(m-1)}{x}\nabla_{\partial_x} - \nabla_{\widehat{e}_i}\nabla_{\widehat{e}_i} + \nabla_{O(x\partial_x)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now specify M to be an exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F) := (0,1) \times F$ with an exact conical metric $dx^2 + x^2 g_F$. Then one chooses p as the conical tip and obtains an explicit formula for the rough Laplacian on $\mathscr{C}(F)$ by (cf. [GMS12, page 47])

$$\Delta = -\nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_x} - \frac{f}{x}\nabla_{\partial_x} - \nabla_{\widehat{e}_i}\nabla_{\widehat{e}_i}. \tag{2.3}$$

In case of L is the bundle of symmetric covariant 2-tensors on the exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$, we consider $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ and set $\omega_{xx} := \omega(\partial_x, \partial_x), \omega_{x\alpha} := \omega(\partial_x, x^{-1}\partial_{z_\alpha})$ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} := \omega(x^{-1}\partial_{z_\alpha}, x^{-1}\partial_{z_\beta})$. Then one can compute from standard formulae for the Christoffel symbols and the action of covariant derivatives on tensors

$$\left(\nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_x}\omega + \frac{f}{x}\nabla_{\partial_x}\omega\right)_{**} = \left(\partial_x^2 + \frac{f}{x}\partial_x\right)\omega_{**}.$$

Choosing $\{\partial_{z_\alpha}\}$ as a local orthonormal frame on the tangent bundle of (F, g_F), we find $\{\widehat{e}_i\} = \{x^{-1}\partial_{z_\alpha}\}$. Identifying $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ with the vector of its $(\omega_{xx}, \omega_{x\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha\beta})$ components, we arrive at the following expression of the rough Laplacian on symmetric covariant 2-tensors on the exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ (we employ the Einstein summation convention)

$$\Delta\,\omega = \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\Box\right)\omega, \quad \text{with } \Box := -\nabla_{\partial_{z_\alpha}}\nabla_{\partial_{z_\alpha}}. \tag{2.4}$$

2.2. The Lichnerowicz Laplacian on symmetric 2-tensors. The Lichnerowicz Laplacian on symmetric covariant 2-tensors is defined in terms of the rough Laplacian Δ and additional curvature terms by

$$\Delta_L := \Delta + 2(\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem}), \tag{2.5}$$

where for any symmetric covariant 2-tensor ω on any Riemannian manifold (M, g), with the corresponding curvature tensor $\mathrm{Riem}(g)$ and the Ricci curvature tensor $\mathrm{Ric}(g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega)_{ij} &:= \left(\mathrm{Ric}(g)_{ik}\, g^{k\ell}\omega_{\ell j} + \mathrm{Ric}(g)_{jk}\, g^{k\ell}\omega_{\ell i}\right)/2, \\ (\mathrm{Riem}\,\omega)_{ij} &:= \mathrm{Riem}(g)_{ikj\ell}\, g^{ks} g^{\ell t}\omega_{st}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In case of an exact cone, this curvature summand $2(\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem})$ reduces to a linear zeroth order operator acting on the cross section (F, g_F). Its action can be made precise. With respect to the notation of the previous subsection one computes from definition for any $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ (cf. [Del06, page 8])

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left((\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem})\omega\right)_{xx} &= 0, \\ 2\left((\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem})\omega\right)_{x\alpha} &= x^{-2}\left(g_F^{\gamma\beta}\mathrm{Ric}(g_F)_{\beta\alpha}\omega_{x\gamma} - (f-1)\omega_{x\alpha}\right), \\ 2\left((\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem})\omega\right)_{\alpha\beta} &= 2x^{-2}(\mathrm{Ric}(g_F)\omega - \mathrm{Riem}(g_F)\omega)_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - 2x^{-2}\left((f-1)\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \left(\omega_{\alpha\beta} - (\mathrm{tr}_{g_F}\omega)g^F_{\alpha\beta}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Consequently, in view of (2.4), we can write the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on symmetric 2-tensors $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ on an exact cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ as

$$\Delta_L \omega = \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\Box_L \right) \omega, \tag{2.8}$$

where the tangential operator $\Box_L$ acting on the cross section (F, g_F) is given by (we employ the Einstein summation convention)

$$\Box_L := -\nabla_{\partial_{z_\alpha}} \nabla_{\partial_{z_\alpha}} + 2x^2(\mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Riem}). \tag{2.9}$$

We proceed with an explicit computation of $\Box_L$ acting on symmetric trace-free 2-tensors in the setting of exact conical singularities, that has also been studied explicitly by Delay [Del06, Lemma 4.2] and Guillarmou, Moroianu and Schlenker [GMS12, 7.4].

Consider a symmetric trace-free 2-tensor $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$, trace-free with respect to the exact conical metric $dx^2 + x^2 g_F$. We write $\omega_{xx} := \omega(\partial_x, \partial_x)$, $\omega'_{x\alpha} := \omega(\partial_x, \partial_{z_\alpha})$ and $\omega'_{\alpha\beta} := \omega(\partial_{z_\alpha}, \partial_{z_\beta})$. Note the difference to the definition of components $\omega_{x\alpha}$ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, which are defined with respect to the vector fields ∂_{z_α} and are written without an apostrophe. We denote the scalar function ω_{xx} by η, the $(1,0)$-tensor $(\omega'_{x\alpha})_\alpha$ by ξ', and the symmetric 2-tensor $(\omega'_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta}$ on the cross section of the cone by h'. Clearly, our convention is to use greek letters for components corresponding to the cross section F. Operators and quantities referring to the cross section (F, g_F) of the cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ are denoted with an additional index F. We compute as in [Del06] and [GMS12] by standard formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_L \omega)_{xx} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F + 2f + 2\right) \right) \eta - \frac{4}{x^3}\delta_N \xi', \\
(\Delta_L \omega)'_{x\alpha} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f-2}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F + f + 2\right) \right) \xi'_\alpha - \frac{2}{x}\partial_{z_\alpha}\eta + \frac{2}{x^3}\delta_N h'_\alpha, \\
(\Delta_L \omega)'_{\alpha\beta} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f-4}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F - 4\right) \right) h'_{\alpha\beta} - 2\,\eta\, g^F_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\qquad + \frac{2}{x^2}(\mathrm{tr}_{g_F} h') g^F_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2}{x}\delta_F^* \xi'_{\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where Δ_L^F acting on h refers to the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on symmetric 2-forms over F and moreover, we have introduced the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_L^F \eta := \Delta_F \eta, \quad \delta_F \xi' := g_F^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^F_\beta \xi')_\alpha, \\
&\Delta_L^F \xi'_\alpha := (\nabla_F^* \nabla_F \xi')_\alpha + g_F^{\gamma\beta}\mathrm{Ric}^F_{\beta\alpha}\xi'_\gamma, \quad \delta_F h'_\alpha := g_F^{\gamma\beta}(\nabla^F_\beta h')_{\alpha\gamma}, \\
&(\delta_F^* \xi')_{\alpha\beta} := (\nabla^F_\alpha \xi')_\beta + (\nabla^F_\beta \xi')_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

We now to take into account the singularity and define components of the symmetric 2-tensor $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ by plugging in singular conical derivatives of ${}^{ie}T\mathscr{C}(F)$. Recall the notation $\omega_\alpha := \omega(\partial_x, x^{-1}\partial_{z_\alpha})$ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} := \omega(x^{-1}\partial_{z_\alpha}, x^{-1}\partial_{z_\beta})$. We denote the $(1,0)$-tensor $(\omega_{x\alpha})_\alpha$ by ξ, and the symmetric 2-tensor $(\omega_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta}$ on the cross section of

the cone by h. Clearly, $\xi' = x\xi$ and $h' = x^2 h$. The action of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian with respect to that rescaling is now given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_L \omega)_{xx} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F + 2f + 2\right)\right)\eta - \frac{4}{x^2}\delta_N \xi, \\
(\Delta_L \omega)_{x\alpha} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F + 4\right)\right)\xi_\alpha - \frac{2}{x^2}\partial_{z_\alpha}\eta + \frac{2}{x^2}\delta_F h_\alpha, \\
(\Delta_L \omega)_{\alpha\beta} &= \left(-\partial_x^2 - \frac{f}{x}\partial_x + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\Delta_L^F + 2 - 2f\right)\right)h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2}{x^2}\,\eta\, g^F_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\qquad + \frac{2}{x^2}(\mathrm{tr}_{g_F} h) g^F_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2}{x^2}\delta_F^* \xi_{\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

We now identify once and for all any trace-free $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*\mathscr{C}(F))$ with its components (η, ξ, h). Under that identification, the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acts as follows

$$\Delta_L \omega = \left(-\partial_x^2 - f\, x^{-1}\partial_x + x^{-2}\Box_L\right)\omega,$$

where the action of $\Box$ on (η, ξ, h) amounts schematically to a matrix

$$\Box_L = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_L^F + 2f + 2 & -4\delta_F & 0 \\ -2\partial_z & \Delta_L^F + 4 & 2\delta_F \\ -2g_F & -2\delta_F^* & \Delta_L^F + 2 - 2f + 2g_F \mathrm{tr}_{g_F} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.10}$$

The Lichnerowicz Laplace operator acting on trace-free symmetric 2-tensors over an admissible incomplete edge manifold, equals to the corresponding operator on isolated cones up to derivatives along the edge and higher order terms. We close the section with the following

Definition 2.1. We call an admissible edge manifold (M, g) tangentially stable if for the corresponding Lichnerowicz Laplace operator Δ_L acting on trace-free symmetric 2-tensors the spectrum of the tangential operator $\Box_L$ is larger than $f = \dim F$.

2.3. Heat kernel asymptotics of a model operator. Before we proceed with an asymptotic analysis of the heat kernel for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L on an admissible edge manifold (M, g), we consider a model operator which already comprises all the central properties of Δ_L. Let us write $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$. Consider for any $\mu \geq 0$ the model operator

$$\ell_\mu := -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{f}{s}\frac{d}{ds} + \frac{1}{s^2}\left(\mu^2 - \left(\frac{f-1}{2}\right)^2\right) : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \to C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+), \tag{2.11}$$

acting on compactly supported smooth test functions $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. This operator is symmetric with respect to the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, s^f ds)$. It can be conveniently studied under the unitary rescaling transformation $\Phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, s^f ds) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, ds)$ with $\Phi(u) = s^{f/2} u$. Then

$$\Phi \circ \ell_\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} + \frac{1}{s^2}\left(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

The heat kernel of this scalar action is well-known and expressed in terms of modified Bessel functions in [Les97, Proposition 2.3.9]. More precisely, the heat kernel of the

Friedrichs self-adjoint extension of $\Phi\circ\ell_\mu\circ\Phi^{-1}$ is given explicitly in terms of the modified Bessel function of first kind I_μ by

$$H_\mu(s,\widetilde{s}) = \frac{1}{2t}(s\widetilde{s})^{1/2} I_\mu\left(\frac{s\widetilde{s}}{2t}\right) e^{-\frac{s^2+\widetilde{s}^2}{4t}}. \tag{2.13}$$

For fixed positive $(t,\widetilde{s})$ the heat kernel $H_\mu(s,\widetilde{s})$ behaves asymptotically like $s^{\mu+1/2}$ as $s\to 0$. We point out that in case $\mu\geq 1$, the model operator ℓ_μ is essentially self-adjoint. The heat kernel $e^{-t\ell_\mu}$ of its unique self-adjoint extension of ℓ_μ is then given by $(s\widetilde{s})^{-f/2}H_\mu(s,\widetilde{s})$, so that we obtain

$$e^{-t\ell_\mu}(s,\widetilde{s}) = \frac{1}{2t}(s\widetilde{s})^{(1-f)/2} I_\mu\left(\frac{s\widetilde{s}}{2t}\right) e^{-\frac{s^2+\widetilde{s}^2}{4t}}. \tag{2.14}$$

2.4. Heat kernel asymptotics for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. We consider the Licherowicz Laplacian Δ_L, acting on trace-free symmetric two-tensors on an admissible incomplete edge manifold (M,g). The Lichnerowicz Laplacian writes in local coordinates (x,y,z) in the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$, which is locally a fibration of cones $\mathscr{C}(F)$ over B, as a sum of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ on the cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ and the Licherowicz Laplacian in $y\in\mathbb{R}^b$, up to higher order terms.

The conical part $\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ decomposes under the spectral decomposition of the tangential operator $\square_L$ into scalar model operators ℓ_μ with

$$\mu\in\{\lambda\geq 0 \mid \lambda^2\in \mathrm{Spec}(\square_L)+(f-1)^2/4\} =: \mathscr{E}. \tag{2.15}$$

Under the assumption of tangential stability in Definition 2.1, we in particular have $\mu>1$ so that each direct sum component ℓ_μ is essentially self-adjoint. Precise description of their unique self-adjoint domains is classical. However, due to weakness of asymptotic expansions on edge manifolds, that classical argument is not immediately extendable to the full operator Δ_L in the edge setting. Nevertheless, we can still consider the heat equation

$$(\partial_t+\Delta_L)\,\omega(t,p)=0,\ \ \omega(0,p)=u(p),$$

and obtain its fundamental solution, following the heat kernel construction of [MaVe12], solution as an integral convolution operator acting on compactly supported sections u by

$$e^{-t\Delta_L}u(t,p) = \int_M \left(e^{-t\Delta_L}\left(t,p,\widetilde{p}\right),u(\widetilde{p})\right)_g \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}), \tag{2.16}$$

In fact, one can prove a posteriori by following the arguments in Gell-Redmann and Swoboda [GeSw15, Theorem 4 and Proposition 17] that the Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L acting on symmetric two-tensors on an admissible incomplete edge manifold (M,g), satisfying tangential stability in Definition 2.1, is essentially self-adjoint and the fundamental solution $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ is indeed the corresponding heat operator. This will be explained below in Theorem 2.4.

The fundamental solution $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ will be a distribution on $M_h^2=\mathbb{R}^+\times\widetilde{M^2}$, taking values in $({}^{ie}\Lambda^*T^*M\otimes S)\boxtimes({}^{ie}\Lambda^*T^*M\otimes S)$. Consider the local coordinates near the corner in M_h^2 given by $(t,(x,y,z),(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z}))$, where (x,y,z) and $(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})$ are two copies of

coordinates on M near the edge. The kernel $e^{-t\Delta_L}(t,(x,y,z),(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z}))$ has non-uniform behaviour at the submanifolds

$$A = \{(t,(x,y,z),(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) \in M_h^2 \mid t=0,\, x=\widetilde{x}=0,\, y=\widetilde{y}\},$$
$$D = \{(t,p,\widetilde{p}) \in M_h^2 \mid t=0,\, p=\widetilde{p}\},$$

which requires an appropriate blowup of the heat space M_h^2, such that the corresponding heat kernel lifts to a polyhomogeneous distribution in the sense of the following definition, which we cite from [Mel93] and [MaVe12].

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be a manifold with corners and $\{(H_i,\rho_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ an enumeration of its (embedded) boundaries with the corresponding defining functions. For any multi-index $b=(b_1,\dots,b_N)\in\mathbb{C}^N$ we write $\rho^b=\rho_1^{b_1}\dots\rho_N^{b_N}$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_b(\mathfrak{W})$ the space of smooth vector fields on $\mathfrak{W}$ which lie tangent to all boundary faces. A distribution ω on $\mathfrak{W}$ is said to be conormal, if ω is a restriction of a distribution across the boundary faces of $\mathfrak{W}$, $\omega\in\rho^bL^\infty(\mathfrak{W})$ for some $b\in\mathbb{C}^N$ and $V_1\dots V_\ell\omega\in\rho^bL^\infty(\mathfrak{W})$ for all $V_j\in\mathcal{V}_b(\mathfrak{W})$ and for every $\ell\geq 0$. An index set $E_i=\{(\gamma,p)\}\subset\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{N}_0$ satisfies the following hypotheses:

(i) $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma)$ accumulates only at $+\infty$,
(ii) for each γ there exists $P_\gamma\in\mathbb{N}_0$, such that $(\gamma,p)\in E_i$ for all $p\leq P_\gamma$,
(iii) if $(\gamma,p)\in E_i$, then $(\gamma+j,p')\in E_i$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $0\leq p'\leq p$.

An index family $E=(E_1,\dots,E_N)$ is an N-tuple of index sets. Finally, we say that a conormal distribution ω is polyhomogeneous on $\mathfrak{W}$ with index family E, we write $\omega\in\mathscr{A}^E_{\mathrm{phg}}(\mathfrak{W})$, if ω is conormal and if in addition, near each H_i,

$$\omega\sim\sum_{(\gamma,p)\in E_i} a_{\gamma,p}\rho_i^\gamma(\log\rho_i)^p,\ \text{as } \rho_i\to 0,$$

with coefficients $a_{\gamma,p}$ conormal on H_i, polyhomogeneous with index E_j at any $H_i\cap H_j$.

Blowing up submanifolds A and D is a geometric procedure of introducing polar coordinates on M_h^2, around the submanifolds together with the minimal differential structure which turns polar coordinates into smooth functions on the blowup. A detailed account on the blowup procedure is given e.g. in [Mel93] and [Gri01].

First we blow up parabolically (i.e. we treat $\sqrt{t}$ as a smooth variable) the submanifold A. This defines $[M_h^2,A]$ as the disjoint union of $M_h^2\backslash A$ with the interior spherical normal bundle of A in M_h^2, equipped with the minimal differential structure such that smooth functions in the interior of M_h^2 and polar coordinates on M_h^2 around A are smooth. The interior spherical normal bundle of A defines a new boundary hypersurface − the front face ff in addition to the previous boundary faces $\{x=0\}$, $\{\widetilde{x}=0\}$ and $\{t=0\}$, which lift to rf (the right face), lf (the left face) and tf (the temporal face), respectively.

The actual heat-space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ is obtained by a second parabolic blowup of $[M_h^2,A]$ along the diagonal D, lifted to a submanifold of $[M_h^2,A]$. We proceed as before by cutting out the lift of D and replacing it with its spherical normal bundle, which introduces a new boundary face − the temporal diagonal td. The heat space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ is illustrated in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The heat-space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$.

We now describe projective coordinates in a neighborhood of the front face in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$, which are used often as a convenient replacement for the polar coordinates. The drawback it that projective coordinates are not globally defined over the entire front face. Near the top corner of the front face ff, projective coordinates are given by

$$\rho = \sqrt{t},\ \xi = \frac{x}{\rho},\ \widetilde{\xi} = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{\rho},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\rho},\ z,\ \widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{z}. \tag{2.17}$$

With respect to these coordinates, $\rho, \xi, \widetilde{\xi}$ are in fact the defining functions of the boundary faces ff, rf and lf respectively. For the bottom right corner of the front face, projective coordinates are given by

$$\tau = \frac{t}{\widetilde{x}^2},\ s = \frac{x}{\widetilde{x}},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\widetilde{x}},\ z,\ \widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{z}, \tag{2.18}$$

where in these coordinates $\tau, s, \widetilde{x}$ are the defining functions of tf, rf and ff respectively. For the bottom left corner of the front face, projective coordinates are obtained by interchanging the roles of x and $\widetilde{x}$. Projective coordinates on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ near temporal diagonal are given by

$$\eta = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\widetilde{x}},\ S = \frac{(x-\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{t}},\ U = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\sqrt{t}},\ Z = \frac{\widetilde{x}(z-\widetilde{z})}{\sqrt{t}},\ \widetilde{x},\ \widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{z}. \tag{2.19}$$

In these coordinates, tf is defined as the limit $|(S,U,Z)| \to \infty$, ff and td are defined by $\widetilde{x}, \eta$, respectively. The blow-down map $\beta : \mathscr{M}_h^2 \to M_h^2$ is in local coordinates simply the coordinate change back to $(t,(x,y,z),(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z}))$.

The Lichnerowicz Laplacian $\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ on the model cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$ reduces over λ-eigenspaces $E_\lambda = \langle \phi_\lambda \rangle$ of the tangential operator $\square_L$ to a scalar multiplication operator, acting on $u\phi_\lambda$ with $u \in C_0^\infty(0,1)$ by

$$\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}(u\phi_\lambda) = \left(-\partial_s^2 - \frac{f}{s}\partial_s + \frac{\lambda}{s^2}\right) u \cdot \phi_\lambda =: (\ell_\mu u)\phi_\lambda, \tag{2.20}$$

with $\mu^2 = \lambda + (f-1)^2/4$. Consequently, the heat kernel of $\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ is given by the following sum

$$e^{-t\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}} = \sum_{\mu(\lambda)\in\mathscr{E}} e^{-t\ell_{\mu(\lambda)}}\,\phi_\lambda \otimes \phi_\lambda. \tag{2.21}$$

The normal operator $N(e^{-t\Delta_L})_{y_0}$ can now be set up exactly as in [MaVe12, (3.10)] as a direct sum of the heat kernel for $\Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ and the heat kernel of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^b$. In order to construct the exact heat kernel, the initial parametrix $N(e^{-t\Delta_L})_{y_0}$ has to be corrected, which involves composition of Schwartz kernels on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Following the heat kernel construction in [MaVe12] ad verbatim, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (M,g) be an incomplete edge manifold with an admissible edge metric g. Then the Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L acting on symmetric trace-free 2-tensors admits a fundamental solution $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ to its heat equation, such that the lift $\beta^* e^{-t\Delta_L}$ is a polyhomogeneous function on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ taking values in $S \boxtimes S$ with $S = \mathrm{Sym}_0^2({}^{ie}T^*M))$ and the index sets $(-m+\mathbb{N}_0, 0)$ at ff, $(-m+\mathbb{N}_0, 0)$ at td, vanishing to infinite order at tf. The index set at rf and lf is given explicitly by*

$$E := \left\{ \mu = \sqrt{\lambda + \left(\frac{f-1}{2}\right)^2} - \left(\frac{f-1}{2}\right) \mid \lambda \in \mathrm{Spec}(\Box_L) \right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Tangential stability introduced in Definition 2.1 is in fact equivalent to asking that any $\mu \in E$ is larger than 1. We conclude the section with a crucial observation that assuming tangential stability, the Lichnerowics Laplacian Δ_L acting on symmetric trace-free 2-tensors is essentially self-adjoint and the fundamental solution in Theorem 2.3 is the corresponding heat operator.

Recall that the maximal domain $\mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L)$ of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on trace-free symmetric 2-tensors S is defined as the space of $\omega \in L^2(M,S)$ such that $\Delta_L\omega \in L^2(M,S)$, understood in the distributional sense. The minimal domain $\mathscr{D}_{\min}(\Delta_L) \subseteq \mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L)$ is dual to the maximal domain and is defined as the completion of compactly supported smooth sections $C_0^\infty(M,S)$ under the graph norm of Δ_L.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that (M,g) is tangentially stable. Then*

$$\mathscr{D}_{\min}(\Delta_L) = \mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L) =: \mathscr{D}(\Delta_L).$$

In particular, the Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L acting on $C_0^\infty(M,S)$ admits a unique self-adjoint extension in $L^2(M,S)$ given by $\mathscr{D}(\Delta_L)$, and the fundamental solution in Theorem 2.3 is the corresponding heat operator.

Proof. We follow the argument we learned from Gell-Redmann and Swoboda [GeSw15]. Note that for fixed time $t > 0$ the Schwartz kernel of the operators $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ and $\partial_t e^{-t\Delta_L}$ is square-integrable on $M \times M$. This is due to Theorem 2.3, non-negativity of the index set E and the fact that for fixed time $t > 0$ the heat kernel is supported in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ away from the front face. Consequently, $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ and $\partial_t e^{-t\Delta_L}$ are both compact, symmetric and hence self-adjoint.

Denote the smallest element of the index set E by μ_0. The operator $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ maps any $\omega \in L^2(M,S)$ into the space of polyhomogeneous sections in $\mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L)$ of the

asymptotics $O(x^{\mu_0-\frac{(f-1)}{2}})$ as $x\to 0$. Take any $\omega\in L^2(M,S)$ and consider any $\omega'\in \mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L)$. Using crucially the fact that $\mu_0>1$, as well as polyhomogeneity of $e^{-t\Delta_L}\omega$, we compute similarly to the analysis of minimal and maximal domains for the model operator ℓ_μ that

$$\langle \omega', \Delta_L e^{-t\Delta_L}\omega\rangle_{L^2(M,S)} = \langle \Delta_L\omega', e^{-t\Delta_L}\omega\rangle_{L^2(M,S)}.$$

Consequently, $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ maps any $\omega\in L^2(M,S)$ in fact into the minimal domain $\mathscr{D}_{\min}(\Delta_L)$. Now the purely functional analytic argument given right after the statement of [GeSw15, Theorem 3] yields essential self-adjointness of Δ_L. Consequently, the Lichnerowicz Laplacian admits a heat operator by spectral theorem. That operator equals the fundamental solution of Theorem 2.3 by [GeSw15, Proposition 13]. □

3. Mean value theorem on edge manifolds

The subsequent section on mapping properties of the heat kernel for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian requires an estimate of the corresponding Hölder differences. This will be somewhat different from similar estimates performed in [BaVe14], since the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on symmetric 2-tensors does not satisfy stochastic completeness. Therefore we will use some different argument, which is developed in the present section. We begin with the following consequence of the mean value theorem for Banach-valued functions of a single variable.

Lemma 3.1. *Consider any Banach space B with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and any $\eta,\eta'\in\mathbb{R}$ contained in a compact convex subset $K\subset\mathbb{R}$. Assume $\eta\leq\eta'$. Consider some continuously differentiable function $\omega: K\to B$. Then for and any fixed odd integer $N\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists a uniform constant $C>0$ and some $\delta\in[\eta,\eta']$ such that*

$$\|\omega(\eta)-\omega(\eta')\|\leq C|\eta-\eta'|^{\frac{1}{N}}\left\|\delta^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(\frac{d}{d\eta}\omega\right)(\delta)\right\| \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Define $o:=\eta^{\frac{1}{N}}\in\mathbb{R}$ and $o':=\eta'^{\frac{1}{N}}\in\mathbb{R}$ for any $\eta,\eta'\in\mathbb{R}$. By the mean value theorem in Banach spaces, there exists some $\delta\in[\eta,\eta']$ (we write $\xi:=\delta^{\frac{1}{N}}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega(\eta)-\omega(\eta')\| = \|\omega(o^N)-\omega(o'^N)\| &\leq |o-o'|\left\|\left(\frac{d}{do}\omega\right)(\xi)\right\| \\ &= N|\eta^{\frac{1}{N}}-\eta'^{\frac{1}{N}}|\left\|\delta^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\left(\frac{d}{d\eta}\omega\right)(\delta)\right\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

One computes using l'Hospital for any $N>1$

$$\lim_{\eta\to\eta'}\frac{(\eta^{\frac{1}{N}}-\eta'^{\frac{1}{N}})}{(\eta-\eta')^{\frac{1}{N}}} = \lim_{q\to 1}\frac{q^{\frac{1}{N}}-1}{(q-1)^{\frac{1}{N}}} = \lim_{q\to 1}\frac{(q-1)^{1-\frac{1}{N}}}{q^{1-\frac{1}{N}}} = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently, for any $\eta,\eta'\in\mathbb{R}$ contained in a compact convex subset $K\subset\mathbb{R}$ and any $N>1$ there exists a uniform constant $C=C(N,K)>0$ such that

$$N|\eta^{\frac{1}{N}}-\eta'^{\frac{1}{N}}|\leq C|\eta-\eta'|^{\frac{1}{N}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking Hilbert space norm on both sides of (3.2) proves the statement of the lemma using the estimate (3.4). □

As a consequence of the previous lemma we conclude with the following corollary, where for simplicity we assume that the edge B as well as the fibre F are one-dimensional. The general case is discussed ad verbatim.

Corollary 3.2. *Consider any continuously differentiable section $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. Consider two copies of local coordinates $(x,y,z),(x',y',z') \in \mathscr{U}$. Consider any $\widetilde{y} \in B$ lying in the same (convex) coordinate chart as y and y'. Then there exist $\xi \in (0,1)$ lying in the line segment between x and x'; γ lying in the line segment between y and y'; and $\zeta \in F$ lying in the line segment connecting $z,z' \in F$; as well as a constant $C>0$ depending only on the choice of local coordinate charts and the odd integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\omega(x,y-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z')\|}{d_M((x,y,z),(x',y',z'))^{\frac{1}{N}}} \leq C\Big(&\|\xi^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\partial_\xi\omega(\xi,y,z)\| \\ &+\|\gamma-\widetilde{y}\|^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\|\partial_\gamma\omega(x',\gamma-\widetilde{y},z)\| \\ &+\|x'^{-\frac{1}{N}}\partial_\zeta\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},\zeta)\|\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We write the difference $\omega(x,y-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z')$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x,y-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z') &= \omega(x,y-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y-\widetilde{y},z) \\ &+\omega\left(x',y-\widetilde{y},z\right)-\omega\left(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z\right) \\ &+\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z').\end{aligned}$$

As a direct application of Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega(x,y-\widetilde{y},z)-\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},z')\| &\leq C\,|x-x'|^{\frac{1}{N}}\|\xi^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\partial_\xi\omega(\xi,y,z)\| \\ &+C\,\|y-y'\|^{\frac{1}{N}}\|\gamma-\widetilde{y}\|^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\|\partial_\gamma\omega(x',\gamma-\widetilde{y},z)\| \\ &+C\,\|z-z'\|\,\|\partial_\zeta\omega(x',y'-\widetilde{y},\zeta)\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for some uniform constant $C>0$. From here the statement of the theorem follows. □

4. Mapping properties of the Lichnerowicz heat operator

We continue under the assumption of tangential stability introduced in Definition 2.1 and study the unique self-adjoint extension of Δ_L acting on traceless symmetric 2-tensors $\omega \in \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$, where the lower index 0 indices the trace-free property. We denote its heat operator (as well as the heat kernel) by H. We also fix any $\delta>0$. Our main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider an edge manifold (M,g) with an admissible edge metric g satisfying tangential stability as in Definition 2.1. Consider the index set E in Theorem 2.3, with the minimal element $\mu_0>1$. Fix a Hölder exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that $\alpha<\mu_0-1$. Then the Lichnerowicz heat operator defines a bounded mapping between*

weighted Hölder spaces[2] *(we set* $\delta := \min\{1-\alpha, (\mu_0 - 1) - \alpha, \alpha\}$ *)*

$$H : \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)) \to \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M));$$
$$H : \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)) \to (\sqrt{t})^{\delta}\, \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M));$$
$$H : \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)) \to \sqrt{t}\, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)).$$

Proof. We mimic a similar statement in [BaVe14] which is proved using stochastic completeness for the heat kernel of the Laplace Beltrami operator. We consider here the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and are not aware of any equivalent of stochastic completeness on tensors. This requires to some extent strongly different analytic arguments. We do not write out the argument for the third statement, since it follows by repeating the arguments leading to the second statement ad verbatim.

When performing the estimates we will use Corollary 3.2 and assume just to simplify notation that F and B are one-dimensional. The estimates in the general case are performed ad verbatim. Moreover, we will always denote uniform positive constants appearing in our estimates by $C > 0$, even though they might differ from estimate to estimate.

4.1. Hölder differences in space. Consider $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. For any two fixed points $(p,p') \in M^2$ and any $X \in \{x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2, x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}, x^{-1}, \sqrt{t}\partial_t, \mathrm{id}\}$ we need to establish an estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&|XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p',t)| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\alpha} d_M(p,p')^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},\\
&|XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p',t)| \leq C\,(\sqrt{t})^{\delta}\,\|\omega\|_{\alpha} d_M(p,p')^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},\\
&|H\omega(p,t) - H\omega(p',t)| \leq C\,\sqrt{t}\,\|\omega\|_{\alpha} d_M(p,p')^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. As noted in the explanation after Definition 1.3, it suffices to take the tuple $(p,p') \in M^2$ inside the same coordinate patch U of any given atlas on M. We model our estimates after a similar analysis in [BaVe14]. We begin by introducing a notation

$$U_+ := \{\widetilde{p} \in U \mid d_M(p,\widetilde{p}) \leq d_M(p,p')\},$$
$$U_- := \{\widetilde{p} \in U \mid d_M(p,\widetilde{p}) \geq d_M(p,p')\}.$$

Note that over the coordinate patch U the bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$ is trivial and the restriction $\omega(p)$ of the section ω to the fibre over $p \in U$ can be extended to a constant function over U. Consequently we may now write

$$\begin{aligned}
&XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p',t)\\
&= \int_0^t \int_{U_+} (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}) - XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{U_-} (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}) - XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})
\end{aligned}$$

[2]One might expect the same time weight in the second and third mapping property, i.e. $\delta = 1$. However, additional derivatives applied to $H\omega$ cannot be passed to ω even if $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ is assumed to be of higher regularity. This explains the difference in the weights in the action of H on $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$.

$$+\int_0^t\int_U (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})-XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p}))\,\omega(\widetilde{t},p)\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$+\int_0^t\int_{M\setminus U} (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})-XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p}))\,\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$=: L_1+L_2+L_3+L_4.$$

Note that the endomorphism $H(\cdot,\widetilde{p})$ can be applied to the vector $\omega(\widetilde{t},p)$ only for $\widetilde{p}$ and p lying in the same coordinate patch U with the corresponding local trivialization of the vector bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$. This explains why we have separated out the integral L_4. The second term L_2 is now estimated exactly as the term I_3 in [BaVe14, §3.1]. In fact the estimates here are even better since the integrand has one order better front face behaviour. If $\omega\in\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$, the estimate of L_2 admits an $\sqrt{t}$ weight as asserted in the second inequality of (4.1) due to the fact that $\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}\rho_{\mathrm{td}}\le C\sqrt{t}$.

We rewrite the first term L_1 as follows

$$L_1=\int_0^t\int_{U_+} XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(\widetilde{t},p))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$-\int_0^t\int_{U_+} XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(\widetilde{t},p'))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$-\int_0^t\int_{U_+} XH(t-\widetilde{t},p',\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},p')-\omega(\widetilde{t},p))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$=: L_{11}+L_{12}+L_{13}.$$

Both, L_{11} and L_{12} are estimated precisely as the term I_1 in [BaVe14, §3.1]. The third summand L_{13} is estimated as the term I_4 in [BaVe14, §3.1]. We point out that these estimates hold under the weaker assumption of $\mu_0>1$. In each of the three cases higher regularity $\omega\in\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ yields a weight $\sqrt{t}$, as explained before in case of L_2.

It remains to estimate the terms L_3 and L_4 from above. Tangential stability as in the Definition 2.1 with $\mu_0>1$ is used only in the estimate of L_3. We begin with the easier term L_4. Recall that for estimating the Hölder norm, we may assume without loss of generality that the two fixed points $p=(x,y,z)$ and $p'=(x',y',z')$ lie in the coordinate neighborhood U. Since the estimates away from the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ are classical, we may also assume that $U\subset\mathscr{U}$. Then we may write (assuming henceforth $X\in x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2$)

$$L_4=|x-x'|\int_0^t\int_{M\setminus U}\xi^{-1}\partial_\xi\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},\xi,y,z,\widetilde{p})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$=|y-y'|\int_0^t\int_{M\setminus U}x'^{-1}\partial_\gamma\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},x',\gamma,z,\widetilde{p})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})$$
$$=|z-z'|\int_0^t\int_{M\setminus U}x'^{-1}\partial_\zeta\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},x',y',\zeta,\widetilde{p})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}).$$

where (ξ,γ,ζ) is a point on the straight connecting line between (x,y,z) and (x',y',z'). Assume that $\widetilde{p}=(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\in\mathscr{U}\backslash U$. Then by construction, the distance $d_B(Y,\widetilde{y})$ between Y and $\widetilde{y}$ is uniformly bounded from below for any $Y\in(y,y',\gamma)$. Consequently, we find for the integrands in the various coordinate systems (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) that for some uniform positive constant $C>0$ we have

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-1}=|u|d_B(Y,\widetilde{y})^{-1}\leq C|u|,(\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}\rho_{\mathrm{td}})^{-1}=|U|d_B(Y,\widetilde{y})^{-1}\leq C|U|.$$

Since the heat kernel is bounded as $|u|$ and $|U|$ tend to infinity, we conclude that each integrand above vanishes to infinite order at the front and temporal diagonal faces. Consequently L_4 may be bounded in terms of the supremum norm of ω and $d_M(p,p')$ up to some uniform constant. If $\widetilde{p}\notin\mathscr{U}$, then the heat kernels in the integrals above are supported away from the front and temporal diagonal faces in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$, so that the estimates are classical in the same spirit as before.

It remains to estimate L_3 which occupies the remainder of the subsection. It is here that we need to use Corollary 3.2. We obtain from Corollary 3.2 for any odd integer $N\in\mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $1/N<\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|L_3|\,d_M((x,y,z),(x',y',z'))^{-\alpha}\\
&\leq\|\int_0^t\int_U\xi^{-1}\xi^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\partial_\xi\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},\xi,y,z,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\|\\
&+\|\int_0^t\int_U x'^{-1}\|\gamma-\widetilde{y}\|^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\partial_\gamma\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},x',\gamma,z,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\|\\
&+\|\int_0^t\int_U x'^{-1-\frac{1}{N}}\partial_\zeta\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H(t-\widetilde{t},x',y',\zeta,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\|\\
&\qquad\qquad=:I_1+I_2+I_3,
\end{aligned}$$

We assume $x<x'$ without loss of generality, otherwise just rename the variables. Consider the blowup space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ and let ρ_* denote defining functions of the boundary face $*$ in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. In view of the heat kernel asymptotics established in Theorem 2.3 and in view of the particular fact that the heat kernel is exponentially vanishing for $\frac{\|\gamma-\widetilde{y}\|}{\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}}$ going to infinity, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^*(\xi^{-\frac{1}{N}}\partial_\xi\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H)&=\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0-1-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{lf}}^{\mu_0}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m-1-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{td}}^{-m-3}G_1,\\
\beta^*(x'^{-1}\|\gamma-\widetilde{y}\|^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\partial_\gamma\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H)&=\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{lf}}^{\mu_0}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m-1-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{td}}^{-m-3}G_2,\\
\beta^*(x'^{-1-\frac{1}{N}}\partial_\zeta\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2\,H)&=\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0-1-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{lf}}^{\mu_0}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m-1-\frac{1}{N}}\rho_{\mathrm{td}}^{-m-3}G_3,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

where the kernels G_1,G_2 and G_3 are uniformly bounded at all boundary faces of the heat space blowup $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. We proceed with estimates of I_1,I_2 and I_3 by assuming that the heat kernel is compactly supported near the corresponding corners of the front face in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. In order to deal with each integral in a uniform notation, we write $X:=\xi$, when dealing with I_1 and $X:=x'$ otherwise. We write $Y:=y$ when dealing with I_1, $Y:=\gamma$ when dealing with I_2 and $Y:=y'$ when dealing with I_3. Similarly, we write $Z:=\zeta$ when dealing with I_3 and $Z:=z$ otherwise.

For the purpose of brevity, we omit the estimates at the top corner of ff and just point out that the estimates are parallel to those near the lower right corner with same front face behaviour.

4.1.1. *Estimates near the lower left corner of the front face:* Let us assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower left corner of the front face. Its asymptotic behaviour is appropriately described in the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{X^2},\ s = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{X},\ u = \frac{Y-\widetilde{y}}{X},\ X,\ Y,\ Z,\ \widetilde{z}, \tag{4.3}$$

where in these coordinates τ, s, x are the defining functions of tf, lf and ff respectively. The coordinates are valid whenever (τ, s) are bounded as $(t-\widetilde{t}, x, \widetilde{x})$ approach zero. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h\cdot X^{m+2}s^f d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Hence, using (4.2) we arrive for any $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$[3] after cancellations at the estimates $(j = 1, 2, 3)$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &\le \|\omega\|_\infty \int s^{f+\mu_0} X^{1-\frac{1}{N}} h\, G_j\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\le \|\omega\|_\infty \int s^{f+\mu_0} (\sqrt{t})^{1-\frac{1}{N}} \tau^{-1+\frac{1}{N}} h\, G_j\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \le (\sqrt{t})^{1-\frac{1}{N}} \|\omega\|_\infty C/3 \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. Summing up, we conclude

$$|I_1 + I_2 + I_3| \le C(\sqrt{t})^{1-\frac{1}{N}} \|\omega\|_\alpha. \tag{4.4}$$

4.1.2. *Estimates near the lower right corner of the front face:* Let us assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower right corner of the front face. Its asymptotic behaviour is appropriately described in the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{\widetilde{x}^2},\ s = \frac{X}{\widetilde{x}},\ u = \frac{Y-\widetilde{y}}{\widetilde{x}},\ Z, \widetilde{x},\ Y,\ \widetilde{z},$$

where in these coordinates $\tau, s, \widetilde{x}$ are the defining functions of tf, rf and ff respectively. The coordinates are valid whenever (τ, s) are bounded as $(t-\widetilde{t}, x, \widetilde{x})$ approach zero. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h\cdot \widetilde{x}^{m+1} d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathcal{M}_h^2$. Hence we obtain using (4.2) and $\alpha < (\mu_0 - 1)$ after cancellations $(j = 1, 2, 3)$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &\le \|\omega\|_\infty \int s^{\alpha-\frac{1}{N}}\, \widetilde{x}^{-\frac{1}{N}} h\, G_j\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\le \|\omega\|_\infty X^{\alpha-\frac{1}{N}} \int \widetilde{x}^{-\alpha} h\, G_1\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\le \|\omega\|_\infty X^{\alpha-\frac{1}{N}} (\sqrt{t})^{\alpha} \int \tau^{\alpha} h\, G_1\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \le \|\omega\|_\infty X^{\alpha-\frac{1}{N}} (\sqrt{t})^{\alpha} C/3, \end{aligned}$$

[3] In fact here ω just needs to be bounded up to $\{x = 0\}$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. Summing up, we conclude

$$|I_1 + I_2 + I_3| \leq C\,(x+x')^{\alpha-\frac{1}{N}}(\sqrt{t})^{\alpha}\|\omega\|_{\alpha} \tag{4.5}$$

4.1.3. *Estimates where the diagonal meets the front face:* We assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the intersection of the temporal diagonal td and the front face. Its asymptotic behaviour is conveniently described using the following projective coordinates

$$\eta^2 = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{x^2},\ S = \frac{(x-\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ U = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ Z = \frac{x(z-\widetilde{z})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ x,\ \widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{z}. \tag{4.6}$$

In these coordinates tf is the face in the limit $|(S,U,Z)| \to \infty$, ff and td are defined by x, η, respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h \cdot x^{m+2}\eta^{m+1} d\eta\, dS\, dU\, dZ,$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathcal{M}_h^2$. Consequently, using Theorem 2.3 ($j = 1,2,3$)

$$I_j \leq \int \eta^{-2}x^{1-\frac{1}{N}} G_j(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$

where G_j is uniformly bounded at the boundary faces of $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Since the heat kernel is integrated against a constant $\omega(x,y,z)$, the singularity in η can be cancelled using integration by parts near td, as in the estimate of I_4 in [BaVe14, §3.1]. This leads to an estimate

$$|I_1 + I_2 + I_3| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\infty}(\sqrt{t})^{1-\frac{1}{N}} \int \eta^{-1+\frac{1}{N}} d\eta \leq C\|\omega\|_{\alpha}(\sqrt{t})^{1-\frac{1}{N}}.$$

4.2. Hölder differences in time. Consider $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. For any two fixed time points t and t', as well as any fixed space point $p \in M$ we will establish the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&|XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p,t')| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\alpha}|t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},\ \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},\\
&|XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p,t')| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\alpha}(\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t'})^{\delta}|t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},\ \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},\\
&|H\omega(p,t) - H\omega(p,t')| \leq C\|\omega\|_{\alpha}(\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t'})|t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},\ \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. Again, we do not write out the arguments leading up to the third estimate, since these are performed ad verbatim to the second estimate.

We model our estimates after a similar analysis in [BaVe14]. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
&XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p,t') \\
&= \int_0^t \int_M XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&- \int_0^{t'} \int_M XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_M XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})\, \omega(\widetilde{t},p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&- \int_0^{t'} \int_M XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})\, \omega(\widetilde{t},p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first assume $t > t'$ and $(2t'-t) \leq 0$. Then $t, t' \leq 2|t-t'|$ and we may estimate the first two integrals exactly as J_1' and J_2' in [BaVe14]. For the last two integrals we note that the estimates at the boundary faces of $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ yield additional powers of $(\sqrt{t})^\alpha$ and $(\sqrt{t'})^\alpha$. Using the fact that $t, t' \leq 2|t-t'|$, we obtain the estimate as in (4.7) as well. Let us now assume $(2t'-t) > 0$. Note that then $(2t'-t)$ is smaller than t and t'. We introduce the following notation

$$T_+ := [2t'-t, t], \quad T_+' := [2t'-t, t'], \quad T_- := [0, 2t'-t].$$

We can now decompose the integrals above accordingly and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&XH\omega(p,t) - XH\omega(p,t') \\
&= \int_{T_-} \int_M (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}) - XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&+ \int_{T_+} \int_M XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&- \int_{T_+'} \int_M XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t},p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&\quad + \int_{T_-} \int_M (XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}) - XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}))\, \omega(\widetilde{t},p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&\quad + \int_{T_+} \int_M XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})\, \omega(\widetilde{t},p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&\quad - \int_{T_+'} \int_M XH(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})\, \omega(\widetilde{t},p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&\quad =: K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5 + K_6.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term K_1 is estimated exactly as the terms J_3 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. The second term K_2 is estimated exactly as the term J_1 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. The third term K_3 is estimated exactly as the term J_2 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. It remains to estimate the other

terms K_4, K_5, K_6. Note that for K_4 and some $\theta \in (t', t)$ we obtain

$$K_4 = |t - t'| \int_0^{2t'-t} \int_M \partial_\tau (XH)(\theta - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})\, \omega(\widetilde{t}, p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}).$$

We proceed in the notation of the previous subsection. For the purpose of brevity, we omit the estimates at the top corner of ff and just point out that the estimates are parallel to those near the lower right corner with same front face behaviour.

4.2.1. *Estimates near the lower left corner of the front face:* Note that near the left lower corner of the front face, $x^2 \geq (\theta - \widetilde{t})$. Consequently, $x^{-2} \leq (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-1}$ and in particular for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we find

$$\int x^{2-N} d\tau = \int x^{-N} d\widetilde{t} \leq \int (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-\frac{N}{2}} d\widetilde{t}.$$

We compute after cancellations

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_4| &\leq C|t - t'| \|\omega\|_\infty \int x^{-1} G_4\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C|t - t'| \|\omega\|_\infty \int_0^{2t'-t} (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\widetilde{t}, \\
|K_5| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int x\, G_5\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int_{2t'-t}^{t} (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, d\widetilde{t}, \\
|K_6| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int x\, G_6\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int_{2t'-t}^{t'} (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, d\widetilde{t},
\end{aligned}$$

where all kernels G_j are bounded at the boundary faces of the heat space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. From there we conclude

$$|K_4| + |K_5| + |K_6| \leq C|t - t'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega\|_\infty \leq C|t - t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t'})^{1-\alpha} \|\omega\|_\infty.$$

4.2.2. *Estimates near the lower right corner of the front face:* We compute after cancellations

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_4| &\leq C|t - t'| \|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{-2} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_4\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\
&\leq C|t - t'| \|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{-4} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_4\, d\widetilde{t}\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z}, \\
|K_5| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{0} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_5\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{-2} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_5\, d\widetilde{t}\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z}, \\
|K_6| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{0} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_6\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int \widetilde{x}^{-2} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_6\, d\widetilde{t}\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z},
\end{aligned}$$

where all kernels G_j are bounded at the boundary faces of the heat space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Observe that near the lower right corner we may estimate

$$\int \left| \widetilde{x}^{-1-(\mu_0 - 1)} s^{\mu_0 - 1} G_j \right| d\widetilde{x} \leq \text{const}.$$

Consequently, we obtain in view of $\widetilde{x}^{-2} \leq (\theta - \widetilde{t})^{-1}$

$$|K_4| \leq C|t-t'|\|\omega\|_\infty \int_0^{2t'-t} (\theta-\widetilde{t})^{-2+\frac{\mu_0-1}{2}}\, d\widetilde{t},$$
$$|K_5| \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int_{2t'-t}^{t} (\theta-\widetilde{t})^{-1+\frac{\mu_0-1}{2}}\, d\widetilde{t},$$
$$|K_6| \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int_{2t'-t}^{t'} (\theta-\widetilde{t})^{-1+\frac{\mu_0-1}{2}}\, d\widetilde{t}.$$

From there we conclude

$$|K_4|+|K_5|+|K_6| \leq C|t-t'|^{\frac{\mu_0-1}{2}}\|\omega\|_\infty \leq C|t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t'})^{(\mu_0-1)-\alpha}\|\omega\|_\infty.$$

4.2.3. *Estimates where the diagonal meets the front face:* We compute after cancellations

$$|K_4| \leq C|t-t'| \int x^{-1}\eta^{-3} G_4(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$
$$|K_5| \leq C \int x\,\eta^{-1} G_5(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$
$$|K_6| \leq C \int x\,\eta^{-1} G_6(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,\omega(\widetilde{t},x,y,z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ.$$

where all kernels G_j are bounded at the boundary faces of the heat space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Since the heat kernel is integrated against a constant $\omega(x,y,z)$, the singularity in η can be cancelled using integration by parts near td, as in the estimate of I_4 in [BaVe14, §3.1]. This leads to an estimate

$$|K_4| \leq C|t-t'|\|\omega\|_\infty \int x^{-1}\eta^{-2} G_4'(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$
$$|K_5| \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int x\,G_5'(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$
$$|K_6| \leq C\|\omega\|_\infty \int x\,G_6'(x,\eta,S,U,Z,\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$

where all kernels G_j' are still bounded at the boundary faces of the heat space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. The estimates now follow along the lines of the estimates of J_1, J_2 and J_3 in [BaVe14, §3.2] near td.

4.3. Estimates of the supremum. Consider as before $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. In this subsection we estimate the supremum norm of the following integral

$$J := \int_0^t \int_M XH(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}).$$

As before, we assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the various corners of the front face in the heat space blowup $\mathscr{M}_h^2$, where for convenience we write out the corresponding projective coordinates once again. The estimates are classical away from the front face and hence we may assume that $p=(x,y,z) \in \mathscr{U}$. Moreover,

as before it suffices to integrate over the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ with $\widetilde{p} = (\omega, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{z})$, replacing the integration region M in the integral J by $\mathscr{U}$.

4.3.1. *Estimates near the lower left corner of the front face:* Assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower left corner of the front face. We employ as before the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{x^2},\ s = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{x},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{x},\ x,\ y,\ z,\ \widetilde{z}.$$

where in these coordinates τ, s, x are the defining functions of tf, lf and ff respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h \cdot x^{m+2} s^f d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Hence, using (4.2) we arrive for any $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ after cancellations at the estimates

$$|J| \leq \|\omega\|_\infty \int x G(s,\tau,u,x,y,z,\widetilde{z}) d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \leq C\, x\, \|\omega\|_\infty,$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$ and bounded function G on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$.

4.3.2. *Estimates near the lower right corner of the front face:* Assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower right corner of the front face. We employ as before the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{\widetilde{x}^2},\ s = \frac{x}{\widetilde{x}},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\widetilde{x}},\ \widetilde{x},\ y,\ z,\ \widetilde{z}.$$

where in these coordinates τ, s, x are the defining functions of tf, rf and ff respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h \cdot \widetilde{x}^{m+1} d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Hence, using (4.2) and the fact that $x \leq \widetilde{x}$ near the lower right corner, we arrive for any $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ after cancellations at the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |J| &\leq \|\omega\|_\infty \int s^{\mu_0 - 1} G(s,\tau,u,\widetilde{x},y,z,\widetilde{z})\, d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\leq C\, \|\omega\|_\infty\, x^{\mu_0-1} \int_x^\infty \widetilde{x}^{-\mu_0+1} d\widetilde{x} \leq C\, x\, \|\omega\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$ and bounded function G on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Note that we assumed $\mu_0 > 1$ by assuming tangential stability in Definition 2.1.

4.3.3. *Estimates near the top corner of the front face:* Assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the top corner of the front face. We employ as before the following projective coordinates

$$\rho = \sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}},\ \xi = \frac{x}{\rho},\ \widetilde{\xi} = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{\rho},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\rho},\ y,\ z,\ \widetilde{z},$$

where in these coordinates, $\rho, \xi, \widetilde{\xi}$ are the defining functions of the boundary faces ff, rf and lf respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h\cdot\rho^{m+1}\widetilde{\xi}^f\,d\rho\,d\widetilde{\xi}\,du\,d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Hence, using (4.2) and the fact that $x\leq\rho$ near the lower right corner, we arrive for any $\omega\in\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ after cancellations at the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}|J| &\leq \|\omega\|_\infty \int \xi^{\mu_0-1}G(\rho,\xi,\widetilde{\xi},u,y,z,\widetilde{z})\,d\rho\,d\widetilde{\xi}\,du\,d\widetilde{z}\\ &\leq C\,\|\omega\|_\infty\, x^{\mu_0-1}\int_x^\infty \rho^{-\mu_0+1}\,d\rho \leq C\,x\,\|\omega\|_\infty,\end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant $C>0$ and bounded function G on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Note that the assumption $\mu_0>1$ in Definition 2.1 is used here as well.

4.3.4. *Estimates where the diagonal meets the front face:* Assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported where the temporal diagonal meets the front face. Before we begin with the estimate, let us rewrite J in following way

$$\begin{aligned}J &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathscr{U}} XH(t-\widetilde{t},x,y,z,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})-\omega(t,x,y,z))d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\\ &+\int_0^t\int_{\mathscr{U}} XH(t-\widetilde{t},x,y,z,\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})\omega(t,x,y,z)d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z}) =: J_1+J_2.\end{aligned}$$

We employ as before the following projective coordinates

$$\eta^2=\frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{x^2},\ S=\frac{(x-\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ U=\frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ Z=\frac{x(z-\widetilde{z})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ x,\ y,\ z.$$

where in these coordinates tf is the face in the limit $|(S,U,Z)|\to\infty$, ff and td are defined by x,η, respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h\cdot x^{m+2}\eta^{m+1}d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ,$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Note that in these coordinates

$$d_M((x,y,z),(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = x\eta\sqrt{|S|^2+|U|^2+(2-\eta S)|Z|^2}.$$

Hence, using (4.2) we arrive for any $\omega\in\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ after cancellations at the estimates

$$|J_1|\leq\|\omega\|_\alpha\int x\eta^{-1+\alpha}G(x,y,z,\eta,S,U,Z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ\leq C\,x\,\|\omega\|_\alpha,$$

for some uniform constant $C>0$ and bounded function G on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Estimating similarly for J_2 leads to a singular η^{-1} behaviour at td, due to derivatives of the form $\eta^{-1}\partial_S,\eta^{-1}\partial_U$ and $\eta^{-1}\partial_Z$. Due to the fact that J_2 is comprised of the heat kernel integrated against $\omega(t,x,y,z)$ which does not depend on (S,U,Z), we obtain after integrating by parts

(assume e.g. $X = \eta^{-2}\partial_Z^2$)

$$|J_2| = |\int x\eta^{-1}(\partial_Z G)\,\omega(t,x,y,z)\,h(x-x\eta S, y-x\eta U, z-\eta Z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ|$$
$$= |\int x\,G\,\omega(t,x,y,z)\,\partial_z h(x-x\eta S, y-x\eta U, z-\eta Z)\,d\eta\,dS\,dU\,dZ| \leq C\,x\,\|\omega\|_\infty.$$

Estimates at all the corners of the front face always yield an additional factor of x. On the other hand, a similar line of arguments can be followed to yield an additional factor of $t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ instead of an x-factor. Summarizing, we have proved

$$\|XH\omega\|_\infty \leq Cx\|\omega\|_\infty, \quad \|XH\omega\|_\infty \leq Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|\omega\|_\infty, \tag{4.8}$$

which in particular implies the required estimate. In fact this additional x weight will be crucial in the geometric arguments following after the proof of short time existence. □

Note that the theorem above does not establish any regularity statement under application of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian. In fact, this aspect is somewhat harder and is the content of the next theorem, where the estimate admits an additional singular weight in time.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider an edge manifold (M,g) with an admissible edge metric g satisfying tangential stability. Consider the index set E in Theorem 2.3, with the minimal element $\mu_0 > 1$. Fix a Hölder exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that $\alpha \leq \mu_0 - 1$. Then the Lichnerowicz heat operator defines a bounded mapping between weighted Hölder spaces*[4]

$$H : \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_L}(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)) \to \sqrt{t}^{\,\delta}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_L}(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)),$$
$$H : \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)) \to \sqrt{t}^{\,-1}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_L}(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)).$$

Proof. For the first statement note that the heat kernel H of Δ_L commutes with Δ_L, when acting on solutions in the self-adjoint domain of Δ_L. This is due to uniqueness of solutions to the heat equation with initial values in the domain of the Laplacian. By definition, $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_L} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\max}(\Delta_L) = \mathscr{D}(\Delta_L)$, and consequently, in view of Theorem 2.4, the first statement in this theorem follows the second statement of Theorem 4.1 after commuting H and Δ_L.

It remains to prove the second mapping property. In view of Theorem 4.1, the proof of which we mimic, it in fact suffices to prove that $\Delta_L \circ H : \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \to \sqrt{t}^{\,-1}\,\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$. The difference to the proof in Theorem 4.1 is that instead of adding terms where the heat kernel is integrated against $\omega(\widetilde{t},p)$ with $p \in \mathscr{U}$ fixed, we change the integrals to include $\omega(t,p)$, where the time variable is fixed as well. We will not write out the estimates of the supremumsnorm, which follow along the lines of the estimate of J in Theorem 4.1 without any singular weighting.

As before, we assume that B and F are of dimension one, just in order to simplify notation in the estimates; and denote all uniform positive constants by $C > 0$ even if the constants change from estimate to estimate.

[4]Using Corollary 3.2 one can improve the singular $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ weight in the second mapping property. However, our argument works with that estimate as well.

4.4. Hölder differences in space. Consider $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. For any two fixed points $(p, p') \in M^2$ we need to establish an estimate of the form

$$|\Delta_L H\omega(p,t) - \Delta_L H\omega(p',t)| \leq C\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\|\omega\|_{\alpha} d_M(p,p')^{\alpha}, \tag{4.9}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. As in the previous Theorem 4.1, for the Hölder estimates it suffices to take p and p' inside the same coordinate patch U, which trivializes the bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$. Moreover, for p and $\widetilde{p}$ lying both in U, the endomorphism $H(\cdot, \widetilde{p})$ can be applied to the vector $\omega(\cdot, p)$. Consequently we may now write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_L H\omega(p,t) - \Delta_L H\omega(p',t)\\
&= \int_0^t \int_U (\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) - \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p', \widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{p}) - \omega(\widetilde{t}, p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_U (\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) - \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p', \widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_U (\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) - \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p', \widetilde{p}))\, \omega(t, p)\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{M\setminus U} (\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) - \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p', \widetilde{p}))\, \omega(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{p})\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&\qquad =: A + B + C + D.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates away from the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ are classical, we may also assume that $U \subset \mathscr{U}$. The first term A is then estimated exactly as the terms L_1 and L_2 in Theorem 4.1 above, following the corresponding arguments in [BaVe14]. The last term D equals the term L_4 from Theorem 4.1, and is estimated accordingly. It remains to estimate B and C. In order to estimate B, we separate the integration region U into two parts (not to be confused with the same notation used in Theorem 4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
U_+ &:= \{\widetilde{p} = (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{z}) \in U \mid \widetilde{x} \leq d_M(p,p')\},\\
U_- &:= \{\widetilde{p} = (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{z}) \in U \mid \widetilde{x} \geq d_M(p,p')\},
\end{aligned}$$

The term B decomposes accordingly as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \int_0^t \int_{U_+} \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&- \int_0^t \int_{U_+} \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p', \widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{U_-} \Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p))\, d\widetilde{t}\, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) =: P_1 + Q_1' + Q_1''.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing out the two fixed points $p = (x, y, z)$ and $p' = (x', y', z')$ in the singular neighborhood $U \subset \mathscr{U}$, we obtain for the summand Q_1'' using the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1'' &= |x - x'| \int_0^t \int_{U_-} \partial_\xi \Delta_L H(t - \widetilde{t}, \xi, y, z, \widetilde{p}) \, (\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p)) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &+ |y - y'| \int_0^t \int_{U_-} \partial_\gamma \Delta_L H(t - \widetilde{t}, x', \gamma, z, \widetilde{p}) \, (\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p)) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &+ |z - z'| \int_0^t \int_{U_-} \partial_\zeta \Delta_L H(t - \widetilde{t}, x', y', \zeta, \widetilde{p}) \, (\omega(\widetilde{t}, p) - \omega(t, p)) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &=: Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4. \end{aligned}$$

For the term C we extend $\omega(t, p)$ defined over U, trivially by zero to a discontinuous section ω' over M. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \int_0^t \int_M H(t - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &= \lim_{\widetilde{t} \to t} \int_M H(t - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) + \int_0^t \int_M \partial_t \, H(t - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &= \lim_{\widetilde{t} \to t} \int_M H(t - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) - \int_0^t \int_M \partial_{\widetilde{t}} \, H(t - \widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, d\widetilde{t} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &= \int_M H(t, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega'(t, \widetilde{p}) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) = \int_U H(t, p, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega(t, p) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that H is the fundamental solution to the heat equation, we also conclude

$$\begin{aligned} C &= (\omega(t, p) - \omega(t, p')) - \int_U (H(t, p, \widetilde{p}) - H(t, p', \widetilde{p})) \, \omega(t, p) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &= (\omega(t, p) - \omega(t, p')) - |x - x'| \int_U \partial_\xi H(t, \xi, y, z, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega(t, p) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &\quad - |y - y'| \int_U \partial_\gamma H(t, x', \gamma, z, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega(t, p) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &\quad - |z - z'| \int_U \partial_\zeta H(t, x', y', \zeta, \widetilde{p}) \, \omega(t, p) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\ &= (\omega(t, p) - \omega(t, p')) - R_1 - R_2 - R_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$, it suffices to estimate R_1, R_2 and R_3 instead of the full expression for C. The estimates of P_1 and Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 as well as R_1, R_2, R_3 are performed as before using asymptotic analysis of the heat kernel near the front face of the blowup space. For brevity we omit the estimates of Q_1', which follow along the lines of the estimates for P_1. We will also assume $x < x'$ without loss of generality, otherwise just rename the variables.

Consider the blowup space $\mathscr{M}_h^2$ and let ρ_* denote defining functions of the boundary face $*$ in $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. In view of the heat kernel asymptotics established in Theorem 2.3 we

find

$$\beta^*(\Delta_L H) = \rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0-1}\rho_{\mathrm{lf}}^{\mu_0}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m-2}\rho_{\mathrm{td}}^{-m-2}G, \tag{4.10}$$

where the kernel G is uniformly bounded at all boundary faces of $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. The expansion at the right face requires some explanation. Similar to [BaVe14, Proposition 2.4] the heat kernel H can be written as a sum $H = H' + H''$, where $\beta^* H' = O(\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m})$ comes from the initial parametrix to the heat operator and in particular, the right face asymptotics of H' is annihilated by Δ_L, i.e. $\beta^*(\Delta_L H') = O(\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\infty}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m-2})$ as $\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}, \rho_{\mathrm{ff}} \to 0$. The second summand H'' is of higher order, i.e. $\beta^* H'' = O(\rho_{\mathrm{rf}}^{\mu_0+1}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{-m+1})$, so that altogether the asymptotics in (4.10) follows.

4.4.1. *Estimates near the lower left corner of the front face:* Let us assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower left corner of the front face. We employ the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{x^2},\ s = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{x},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{x},\ x,\ y,\ z,\ \widetilde{z},$$

where in these coordinates τ, s, x are the defining functions of tf, lf and ff respectively. The coordinates are valid whenever (τ, s) are bounded as $(t-\widetilde{t}, x, \widetilde{x})$ approach zero. As in Theorem 4.1, we replace x by ξ when estimating Q_1 and R_1. We replace y by γ when estimating Q_2 and R_2. We replace z by ζ when estimating Q_3 and R_3. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h\cdot x^{m+2}s^f\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded function on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. Consequently, we arrive after cancellations at the estimates (write $d := d_M(p,p')$ and note that $\widetilde{x} \le d$ for the integral over U_+)

$$\begin{aligned}
|P_1| &\le C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int |t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s^f G(\tau,s,u,\widetilde{z})\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z}\\
&\le C\|\omega\|_\alpha\, x^\alpha \int s^{-1+\alpha}(\tau\, s^{f+1-\alpha}G)\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z}\\
&\le C\|\omega\|_\alpha\, x^\alpha \int_0^{d/x} s^{-1+\alpha} ds \le C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the factor $|t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ in the integrand is used to improve the front face behaviour by a power of α. Proceeding similarly we obtain for Q_1 and Q_3, noting that $\widetilde{x} \ge d$ for the integral over U_-

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q_1| &\le C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, \xi^{-1+\alpha}\int_{d/\xi}^1 s^f ds \le C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, \xi^{-1+\alpha}\int_{d/\xi}^\infty s^{-2+\alpha} ds\\
&\le C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha},\\
|Q_3| &\le C|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, \xi^\alpha \int_{d/\xi}^1 s^f ds \le C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, \xi^{-1+\alpha}\int_{d/\xi}^\infty s^{-2+\alpha} ds\\
&\le C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for Q_2 are obtained ad verbatim to Q_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha(|x-x'| + |y-y'| + (x+x')|z-z'|)d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate R_1, R_2, R_3, which are the only terms leading to a singular t-behaviour. We estimate as follows

$$|R_1| \leq C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \xi^{-1} \int G = C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \tau G \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha,$$

$$|R_3| \leq C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \xi^{-1} \int G \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha.$$

The estimates for R_2 are obtained ad verbatim to R_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$|R_1 + R_2 + R_3| \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

4.4.2. *Estimates near the lower right corner of the front face:* Let us assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the lower right corner of the front face. As before, its asymptotic behaviour is described in the following projective coordinates

$$\tau = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{\widetilde{x}^2},\ s = \frac{x}{\widetilde{x}},\ u = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\widetilde{x}},\ z, \widetilde{x},\ y,\ \widetilde{z},$$

where in these coordinates $\tau, s, \widetilde{x}$ are the defining functions of tf, rf and ff respectively. The coordinates are valid whenever (τ, s) are bounded as $(t-\widetilde{t}, x, \widetilde{x})$ approach zero. As in Theorem 4.1, we replace x by ξ when estimating Q_1 and R_1. We replace y by γ when estimating Q_2 and R_2. We replace z by ζ when estimating Q_3 and R_3. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h \cdot \widetilde{x}^{m+1} d\tau\, d\widetilde{x}\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathcal{M}_h^2$. We estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}|P_1| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int |t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widetilde{x}^{-1} G(\tau, s, u, \widetilde{z})\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \widetilde{x}^{-1+\alpha} \tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} G(\tau, s, u, \widetilde{z})\, d\tau\, ds\, du\, d\widetilde{z} \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_0^d \widetilde{x}^{-1+\alpha} d\widetilde{x} \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

As before, the factor $|t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ in the integrand is used to improve the front face behaviour by a power of α. Proceeding similarly we obtain for Q_1 and Q_3 (note $s = (x/\widetilde{x})$)

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1| &\leq C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_d^\infty \widetilde{x}^{-2+\alpha} d\widetilde{x} \leq C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha}, \\ |Q_3| &\leq C|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int s\, \widetilde{x}^{-1+\alpha} d\widetilde{x} \leq C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_d^\infty \widetilde{x}^{-2+\alpha} d\widetilde{x} \\ &\leq C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for Q_2 are obtained ad verbatim to Q_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha(|x - x'| + |y - y'| + (x + x')|z - z'|)d_M(p, p')^{-1+\alpha}\\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p, p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate R_1, R_2, R_3, which are the only terms leading to a singular t-behaviour. We estimate as follows

$$|R_1| \leq C|x - x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \widetilde{x}^{-2}G = C|x - x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \tau G \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x - x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha,$$

$$|R_3| \leq C(x + x')|z - z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \widetilde{x}^{-2}G \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x + x')|z - z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha.$$

The estimates for R_2 are obtained ad verbatim to R_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$|R_1 + R_2 + R_3| \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p, p')\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

4.4.3. *Estimates near the top corner of the front face:* Assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the top corner of the front face. We employ as before the following projective coordinates

$$\rho = \sqrt{t - \widetilde{t}},\ \xi = \frac{x}{\rho},\ \widetilde{\xi} = \frac{\widetilde{x}}{\rho},\ u = \frac{y - \widetilde{y}}{\rho},\ y,\ z,\ \widetilde{z},$$

where in these coordinates, $\rho, \xi, \widetilde{\xi}$ are the defining functions of the boundary faces ff, rf and lf respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{z})) = h \cdot \rho^{m+1}\widetilde{\xi}^f\, d\rho\, d\widetilde{\xi}\, du\, d\widetilde{z},$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. We estimate as follows, using $|t - \widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ factor to improve the front face behaviour by α.

$$\begin{aligned}|P_1| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \rho^{-1+\alpha}\widetilde{\xi}^f G\, d\rho\, d\widetilde{\xi}\, du\, d\widetilde{z}\\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_0^d \widetilde{x}^f \Big(\int_{\widetilde{x}}^\infty \rho^{-2-f+\alpha} d\rho\Big) d\widetilde{x}\\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_0^d \widetilde{x}^{-1+\alpha} d\widetilde{x} \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p, p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding similarly we obtain for Q_1 and Q_3 (note $s = (x/\rho)$)

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1| &\leq C|x - x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_d^\infty \rho^{-2+\alpha} d\rho \leq C|x - x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p, p')^{-1+\alpha},\\ |Q_3| &\leq C|z - z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int s\, \rho^{-1+\alpha} d\rho \leq C(x + x')|z - z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int_d^\infty \rho^{-2+\alpha} d\widetilde{x}\\ &\leq C(x + x')|z - z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p, p')^{-1+\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for Q_2 are obtained ad verbatim to Q_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha(|x-x'| + |y-y'| + (x+x')|z-z'|)d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate R_1, R_2, R_3, which are the only terms leading to a singular t-behaviour. We estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}|R_1| &\leq C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \rho^{-1} G = C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |R_3| &\leq C|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \rho^{-1} s\, G \leq C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \rho^{-2} G \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for R_2 are obtained ad verbatim to R_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$|R_1 + R_2 + R_3| \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

4.4.4. *Estimates where the diagonal meets the front face:* We assume that the heat kernel H is compactly supported near the intersection of the temporal diagonal td and the front face. Its asymptotic behaviour is conveniently described using the following projective coordinates

$$\eta^2 = \frac{t-\widetilde{t}}{x^2},\ S = \frac{(x-\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ U = \frac{y-\widetilde{y}}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ Z = \frac{x(z-\widetilde{z})}{\sqrt{t-\widetilde{t}}},\ x,\ \widetilde{y},\ \widetilde{z}. \tag{4.11}$$

In these coordinates tf is the face in the limit $|(S,U,Z)| \to \infty$, ff and td are defined by x, η, respectively. For the transformation rule of the volume form we compute

$$\beta^*(d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_{\mathrm{g}}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z})) = h \cdot x^{m+2}\eta^{m+1} d\eta\, dS\, dU\, dZ,$$

where h is a bounded distribution on $\mathcal{M}_h^2$. Consequently, we estimate using $|t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ factor to improve the front and temporal diagonal face behaviour by α.

$$\begin{aligned}|P_1| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int x^\alpha \eta^{-1+\alpha} G\, d\eta\, dS\, dU\, dZ \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \widetilde{x}^\alpha \eta^{-1+\alpha}(1-\eta S) G\, d\eta\, dS\, dU\, dZ \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha\, d_M(p,p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

If we proceed similarly for Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3, we obtain non-integrable factors $\eta^{-2+\alpha}$. However, the heat kernel is integrated against sections that are constant over U, so that integration by parts allows to improve the temporal diagonal face asymptotics, as explained in the estimate of J in the previous theorem. We obtain using $d_M(p,p') \leq \widetilde{x}$ for $(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y},\widetilde{z}) \in U_-$ for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}|Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3| &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha(|x-x'| + |y-y'| + (x+x')|z-z'|)d_M(p,p')^{-1+\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate R_1, R_2, R_3, which are the only terms leading to a singular t-behaviour. We estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_1| &\leq C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int (x\,\eta)^{-1} G = C|x-x'|\|\omega\|_\alpha\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}},\\
|R_3| &\leq C|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int \eta^{-1}\, G \leq C(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha \int (x\,\eta)^{-1} G\\
&\leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x+x')|z-z'|\|\omega\|_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for R_2 are obtained ad verbatim to R_1. Summing up, we conclude for some uniform constants $C, C > 0$

$$|R_1 + R_2 + R_3| \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha d_M(p,p')\, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

4.5. Hölder differences in time. Consider as before a section $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$. We will proceed by applying ideas from previous estimates without repeating details if possible. For any two fixed time points t and t', as well as any fixed space point $p \in M$ we need to establish the estimate

$$\left|\sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t) - \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t')\right| \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha |t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \tag{4.12}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$. We proceed by adapting the arguments of the previous Theorem 4.1 in the same way as in the previous subsection on the Hölder difference in space. Assume that $p \in U \subset \mathscr{U}$ lies in some coordinate neighborhood that trivializes the bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$ and reaches up to the edge.

We may assume without loss of generality that $H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})$ vanishes identically for $\widetilde{p} \notin U$, since for $|p-\widetilde{p}|$ bounded from below, the estimates are classical and follow similar to the arguments for L_4 in Theorem 4.1. Note that for $p, \widetilde{p} \in U$, we may apply $H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})$ to $\omega(t,p)$, since U is chosen as a trivializing neighborhood of the vector bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$. We may now write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t) - \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t')\\
&= \int_0^t \int_U \sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(t,p))\, d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&- \int_0^{t'} \int_U \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H(t'-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p}) - \omega(t,p))\, d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_U \sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})\,\omega(t,p)\, d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p})\\
&- \int_0^{t'} \int_U \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H(t'-\widetilde{t}, p, \widetilde{p})\,\omega(t,p)\, d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first assume $t > t'$ and $(2t'-t) > 0$. Note that then $(2t'-t)$ is smaller than t and t'. We introduce the following notation

$$T_+ := [2t'-t, t], \quad T'_+ := [2t'-t, t'], \quad T_- := [0, 2t'-t].$$

We can now decompose the integrals above accordingly and obtain, by repeating the same arguments as we did for rewriting C in the previous subsection

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t) - \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H\omega(p,t') \\
&= \int_{T_-}\int_U (\sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}) - \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p}))(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(t,p))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&+ \int_{T_+}\int_U \sqrt{t}\,\Delta_L H(t-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(t,p))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&- \int_{T'_+}\int_U \sqrt{t'}\,\Delta_L H(t'-\widetilde{t},p,\widetilde{p})(\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(t,p))\,d\widetilde{t}\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \\
&+ \int_U (\sqrt{t}\,H(t,p,\widetilde{p}) - \sqrt{t'}\,H(t',p,\widetilde{p}))\,\omega(t,p)\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) =: S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We point out that due to the Hölder regularity of ω

$$\|\omega(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{p})-\omega(t,p)\| \leq \|\omega\|_\alpha \left(d_M(p,\widetilde{p})^\alpha + |t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right).$$

In the estimates of the various integrals, both $d_M(p,\widetilde{p})^\alpha$ and $|t-\widetilde{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ may be used to improve the front and temporal diagonal face behaviour by α. Consequently, the first term S_1, like K_1 in Theorem 4.1, is estimated exactly as the terms J_3 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. The second term S_2, like K_2 in Theorem 4.1, is estimated exactly as the term J_1 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. The third term S_3, like K_3 in Theorem 4.1, is estimated exactly as the term J_2 in [BaVe14, §3.2]. It remains to estimate the term S_4 where for some $\theta \in (t',t)$ we obtain

$$|S_4| \leq |\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t'}| \int_U |\partial_{\sqrt{\tau}}(\sqrt{\tau}\,H)(\theta,p,\widetilde{p})\omega(t,p)|\,\mathrm{dvol}_g(\widetilde{p}) \leq C\|\omega\|_\alpha |t-t'|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where in the second inequality we used boundedness of the integrand at all the boundary faces of $\mathscr{M}_h^2$. This proves the estimate and concludes the proof the theorem. □

We conclude the section with stating the mapping properties for the Laplace Beltrami operator Δ acting on smooth functions over M. Note that this notation was previously reserved for the rough Laplacian on tensors. However, the rough Laplacian does not arise as an individual operator in the arguments below, so there will be no point of confusion and we denote by Δ the Laplace Beltrami operator on functions from now on. We identify Δ with its Friedrichs self-adjoint extension. Under stronger assumptions other than admissibility of the edge metric, mapping properties of the heat operator have been established in the joint work of the first author with Bahuaud [BaVe14, Theorem 3.2]. In particular, we have proved there

$$e^{-t\Delta} : \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T]) \to \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}(M\times[0,T]) \cap \sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T]).$$

However, we do not wish to impose assumptions from [BaVe14] here, since they might require scaling the metric on fibres, which might destroy tangential stability. Without these stronger assumptions, repetition of the arguments in the proof of [BaVe14, Theorem 3.2] yields the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Consider an edge manifold (M,g) with an admissible edge metric g. For $\alpha\in(0,1)$ sufficiently small, the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta}$ for the Friedrichs self-adjoint extension of the Laplace Beltrami operator Δ defines a bounded mapping*

$$e^{-t\Delta}:\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])\to\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T]),$$
$$e^{-t\Delta}:\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])\to\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}\cap\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\cap\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T]).$$

Let us conclude with an observation on an improved regularity with respect to edge derivatives. Recall the higher order Hölder spaces introduced in (1.5), which require regularity with respect to additional application of edge derivatives $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Application of edge derivatives to polyhomogeneous functions on $\mathscr{M}^2_h$ does not change the asymptotic expansions at the left, right and the front face. In fact, it only affects the expansion of the polyhomogeneous functions at the temporal diagonal, where an integration by parts argument as in §4.3.4 can be applied to deal with the decrease in the temporal diagonal asymptotics. Consequently, an ad verbatim repetition of the arguments above extends Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 to the setting of these higher Hölder spaces as follows.

Theorem 4.4. *Consider an edge manifold (M,g) with an admissible edge metric g satisfying tangential stability. We write $S=\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$. Consider the index set E in Theorem 2.3, with the minimal element $\mu_0>1$. Fix a Hölder exponent $\alpha\in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha<\mu_0-1$. Consider the Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L and the fundamental solution $e^{-t\Delta_L}$ to its heat equation. It defines bounded mappings between weighted Hölder spaces (for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\delta>0$ sufficiently small)*

$$e^{-t\Delta_L}:\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}(M\times[0,T],S)\to t^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T],S),$$
$$e^{-t\Delta_L}:\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T],S)\to\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}\cap\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\cap\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T],S).$$

Denote the heat operator of the Friedrichs self-adjoint extension for the Laplace Beltrami operator by $e^{-t\Delta}$. After an eventual decrease of the Hölder exponent α, it defines bounded mappings between weighted Hölder spaces

$$e^{-t\Delta}:\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])\to\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T]),$$
$$e^{-t\Delta}:\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])\to\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}\cap\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\cap\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T]).$$

5. Short time existence of the Ricci de Turck flow

We proceed with the explicit analysis of the Ricci flow of an admissible (α,k)-Hölder regular incomplete edge metric g, satisfying tangential stability introduced in Definition 2.1. A particular consequence of the diffeomorphism invariance of the Ricci tensor is the well-known fact that the Ricci flow is not a parabolic system. This analytic difficulty is overcome using the standard de Turck trick with the background metric chosen as the initial incomplete edge metric $g\in\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$.

Writing the flow metric as $(g+v)$ with $v\in\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$ and $v(0)=0$, we can follow the linearization of the Ricci de Turck flow as e.g. in Bahuaud [Bah10, 4.2] and

obtain a quasilinear parabolic system for $v \in \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$, where all indices refer to the metric and curvature terms as tensors on ${}^{ie}T^*M$. Let $Ric(g)$ and $R(g)$ denote the Ricci and Riemannian $(4,0)$ curvature tensors, respectively. Then the Ricci de Turck flow can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t + \Delta_L)v_{ij} &= (T_1 v)_{ij} + (T_2 v)_{ij} + (T_3 v)_{ij},\\
(T_1 v)_{ij} &= ((g+v)^{kl} - g^{kl})(\nabla_k \nabla_l v)_{ij},\\
(T_3 v)_{ij} &= (g+v)^{-1} * (g+v)^{-1} * \nabla v * \nabla v,\\
(T_2 v)_{ij} &= -2\mathrm{Ric}(g)_{ij} + Q(g+v)^{kl}(g+v)_{ip} g^{pq} R(g)_{jkql})\\
&\quad + Q((g+v)^{kl}(g+v)_{jp} g^{pq} R(g)_{ikql}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.1}
$$

where $Q(*)$ is obtained by taking a linear formal expansion of $(*)$ in v and picking those terms that are at least quadratic in v. Moreover, Δ_L and ∇ denote the Lichnerowicz Laplacian and the Levi Civita covariant derivative, respectively, both defined with respect to the initial metric g and acting on $\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$.

We decompose $v = ug \oplus \omega$ into pure trace and trace-free parts with respect to the initial metric g. The Lichnerowicz Laplacian Δ_L respects the decomposition since $\mathrm{tr}_g(\Delta_L v) = \Delta(\mathrm{tr}_g(v))$ and $\Delta(ug) = (\Delta u)g$, where Δ on the right hand side of the latter equation is the Laplace Beltrami operator of g acting on functions.

We also note the following useful expansion as in [Bah10, (4.1)]

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g+v)^{ab} \equiv ((1+u)g+\omega)^{ab} = \frac{g^{ab}}{(1+u)} - \frac{g^{al}g^{bm}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{ml}\\
+ \frac{((1+u)g+\omega)^{bl} g^{am} g^{pq}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{lp}\omega_{mq}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this expansion into $T_1(v)$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_1(v) = \left(\frac{-u}{(1+u)}\Delta u - \frac{g^{al}g^{bm}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{ml}\partial_a\partial_b u + \frac{((1+u)g+\omega)^{bl}g^{am}g^{pq}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{lp}\omega_{mq}\partial_a\partial_b u \right) g\\
+ \frac{-u}{(1+u)}\Delta\omega - \frac{g^{al}g^{bm}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{ml}\nabla_a\nabla_b\omega + \frac{((1+u)g+\omega)^{bl}g^{am}g^{pq}}{(1+u)^2}\omega_{lp}\omega_{mq}\nabla_a\nabla_b\omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume for the moment that we are in the special case of isolated conical singularities and $\Delta_L = \Delta_L^{\mathscr{C}}$ (up to higher order terms) near the cone tip of the cone $\mathscr{C}(F)$. Note that in this setting, if the lower index a refers to the radial coordinate x, then ∇_a acts on $\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)$ as a combination of derivatives $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and x^{-1} times a smooth function on $\overline{M}$, smooth up to the boundary. If the lower index a refers to tangential coordinates z, then ∇_a acts on $\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$ as a combination of derivatives $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and smooth functions on $\overline{M}$. On the other hand, any upper index a referring to the radial coordinate x contributes no singular x factor due to the structure of the inverse metric g^{-1}, while an upper index a referring to the tangential coordinates z contributes a

factor x^{-1}. Counting the factors, we conclude

$$T_1(v) = \left(\frac{-u}{(1+u)}\Delta u + \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,u)\right)g + \frac{-u}{(1+u)}\Delta_L\omega + \frac{1}{x^2}O_2(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,\omega),$$

where $O_1(*)$ and $O_2(*)$ refers to any at least linear and at least quadratic combination of the term $(*)$ in the brackets, respectively. In each of the summands we do not indicate notationally further factors which include just bounded combinations of smooth (up to the boundary) functions, u and ω, with at most edge $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^2$ derivatives. Counting singular x^{-1}-factors as before we obtain

$$T_2(v) = -2\mathrm{Ric}(g) + \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega)O_1(u),$$
$$T_3(v) = O_2(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) + \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) + \frac{1}{x^2}O_2(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega).$$

where in case of $T_2(v)$ we used the fact that components of the Riemannian curvature $(4,0)$ tensor of an edge metric of bounded geometry are $O(x^{-1})$ as $x \to 0$, when acting on ${}^{ie}TM$.

In order to pass from the setting of isolated conical singularities to the general setting of admissible edge singularities, we consider local coordinates (x, y, z) and define the local coordinates $v := y/x$ away from the edge $\{x = 0\}$. Note that $\partial_v = x\partial_y$, so that (u) can be treated in the same way as the tangential coordinates (z). Consequently, if the lower index a refers to the coordinates in (v), ∇_a acts on $\mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M)$ as a combination of derivatives $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and in particular $\partial_v = x\partial_y \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$. On the other hand, if the upper index a refers to a coordinate in (v), it contributes a factor x^{-1} as before for the tangential variables. We conclude that the expansions of T_1, T_2 and T_3 continue to hold unchanged in the general setting of admissible edge singularities.

We decompose the Ricci curvature into the trace free component $\frac{\mathrm{scal}(g)}{m}g$ and the trace-free part of the Ricci curvature tensor $\mathrm{Ric}'(g)$. Summarizing our analysis from above we now obtain under the direct sum decomposition into pure trace and trace free components (with respect to the initial edge metric g) the following structure of the Ricci de Turck flow

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t + (\Delta u \oplus \Delta_L\omega) = &\left(\left(-\frac{u}{1+u}\Delta u + \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,u) + \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g)}{m}\right)\right.\\ &\left.\oplus\left(-\frac{u}{(1+u)}\Delta_L\omega - 2\mathrm{Ric}'(g)\right)\right) + \frac{1}{x^2}O_2(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,\omega)\\ &+ \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) + O_2(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) =: F(u, \omega).\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In order to set up a fixed point argument for that non-linear equation, we follow the outline of [BaVe14, Theorem 4.1] and introduce the following Banach space

$$H := \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta}\cap\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\cap\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T])$$
$$\oplus\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}}\cap\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\cap\sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$$

Theorem 5.1. *Consider an admissible Hölder regular edge manifold (M,g) satisfying tangential stability introduced in Definition 2.1. Then the Riemannian metric g may be evolved under the Ricci de Turck flow as $g(t)=(1+u)\oplus\omega$ within the Banach space H on some finite time interval $[0,T]$. Here the decomposition $g(t)=(1+u)\oplus\omega$ is into the pure trace and traceless component with respect to $g(0)=g$.*

Proof. Consider first the linearization of the Ricci de Turck flow in (5.2). We want to establish the target space of F acting on $(u,\omega)\in H$. First of all, the $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ Hölder norm of $\Delta_L\omega$ is weighted with a singular $1/\sqrt{t}$ factor due to definition of H. However, this singular time behaviour is cancelled in the combination $u\cdot\Delta_L\omega$, since the Hölder norm of u is weighted with $\sqrt{t}$, again by the definition of H. Moreover, in (5.2) each singular factor x^{-1} is coupled with $O_1(\omega,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\omega,\mathcal{V}^2_{\mathrm{e}}\omega)$, so that the composition is $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$. This explains that indeed

$$F: H\to\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])\oplus\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\left(M\times[0,T],\mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M)\right).$$

In view of the mapping properties established in Theorem 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, as well as the linearization of the Ricci de Turck flow in (5.2) we conclude that

$$\Phi := \left(e^{-t\Delta}\oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\right)\circ F: H\to H.$$

Solution to the Ricci de Turck flow is by construction a fixed point of Φ. In order to prove existence of such a fixed point, we restrict Φ to a subset of H and define

$$Z_\mu := \{(u,\omega)\in H\mid \|(u,\omega)\|_H\leq\mu\},\quad \mu>0.$$

The terms in the linearization (5.2) are either quadratic in (u,ω) or the summands $\mathrm{scal}(g)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}'(g)$ depending only on the initial metric. Hence, for $\mu>0$ sufficiently small, Φ satisfies the contraction mapping property

$$\|\Phi(u,\omega)-\Phi(u',\omega')\|_H\leq q\|(u,\omega)-(u',\omega')\|_H$$

with some positive $q<1$ for all (u,ω) and $(u',\omega')\in Z_\mu$. Taking $T>0$ sufficiently small ensures that the H-norm of $e^{-t\Delta}\mathrm{scal}(g)\oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\mathrm{Ric}'(g)$ is smaller than $\mu/2$ and hence Φ maps Z_μ to itself for $\mu>0$ sufficiently small. Here we use the first and third mapping properties in Theorem 4.4. Hence, repeating the argument of [BaVe14, Theorem 4.1] ad verbatim, the fixed point exists in $Z_\mu\subset H$. □

We conclude this section with a slight improvement of regularity under application of additional edge vector field deriviatives. Assuming the initial data has a better $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ regularity, we may apply the heat operator estimates of Theorem 4.4 and set up a fixed point argument in the higher order Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$. This proves the following somewhat stronger statement.

Theorem 5.2. *Consider an admissible (α, k)-Hölder regular edge manifold (M, g) satisfying tangential stability. Then the Riemannian metric g may be evolved under the Ricci de Turck flow as $g(t) = (1 + u) \oplus \omega$ for some finite time interval $[0, T]$ within*

$$H_k := \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \cap \sqrt{t}\, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \right) (M \times [0,T]) \\ \oplus \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \cap \sqrt{t}\, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \right) (M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$$

6. Singular edge structure of the Ricci de Turck flow

In this section we explain in what sense the evolved Ricci de Turck metric $g(t)$ remains an admissible incomplete edge metric. Recall $g(t) = (1+u)g + \omega$, where g is the initial admissible edge metric, $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T])$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))$ is a higher order traceless (with respect to g) term. Consider first how the conformal transformation of g into $(1+u)g$ affects the incomplete edge structure of the metric. The argument is worked out in [BaVe15] as well.

Choose local coordinates (x, y, z) near the singularity as before. Due to the fact that an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ must be independent of z at $x = 0$, we may write $u_0(y) := u(0, y, z)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ we may apply the mean value theorem and find that $x^{-1}(u(x, y, z) - u_0(y))$ is bounded up to the edge singularity. Consequently we obtain a partial Taylor expansion of u as $x \to 0$

$$u(x, y, z) = u_0(y) + O(x).$$

Now we substitute $\widetilde{x} = (1+u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} x$. For small u_0 this defines a new boundary defining function, which varies along the edge. Consider the leading order term $\overline{g}$ of g, which is given by $\overline{g} \restriction \mathscr{U} = dx^2 + x^2 g_F + \phi^* g_B$ over the singular neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(1+u)(dx^2 + \phi^* g^B + x^2 g^F) &= (1+u_0)dx^2 + \phi^*((1+u_0)g^B) + (1+u_0)x^2 g^F + O(x) \\ &= d\widetilde{x}^2 + \phi^*((1+u_0)g^B) + \widetilde{x}^2 g^F + O(x).\end{aligned}$$

The key point here is that up to a conformal transformation of the base metric on B, the leading term of the metric has the same rigid edge structure in the new choice of a boundary defining function $\widetilde{x}$. It remains to study the higher order traceless term ω. By the estimate (4.8) and the fact that in that formula one may take $X = x^{-1}$, one concludes that ω is of higher order $O(x^2) = O(\widetilde{x}^2)$, as x (and hence also $\widetilde{x}$) goes to 0. Consequently, up to a change of a boundary defining function, $g(t)$ is indeed an admissible incomplete edge metric in the sense of Definition 1.1.

7. Passing from the Ricci de Turck to the Ricci flow

The solution $g(t)$ of the Ricci de Turck flow is related to the actual Ricci flow by a diffeomorphism, a meanwhile classical trick of de Turck which we now make explicit, cf. [CLN06]. We employ the Einstein notational convention for summation of indices and define the time-dependent de Turck vector field $W(t)$, given in a choice of local coordinates by the following expression

$$W(t)_i := -g_{ij}(t) g^{pq}(t) \left(\Gamma^j_{pq}(g(t)) - \Gamma^j_{pq}(g) \right),$$

where $\Gamma^j_{pq}(g(t))$ and $\Gamma^j_{pq}(g)$ denote the Christoffel symbols of the Ricci de Turck flow metric $g(t)$ and the initial admissible edge metric g, respectively. The Christoffel symbols are not coordinate invariant and are given in the fixed choice of local coordinates by

$$\Gamma^j_{pq}(g) = \frac{1}{2} g^{jm} \left(\partial_p g_{mq} + \partial_q g_{mp} - \partial_m g_{pq} \right),$$

with $\Gamma^j_{pq}(g)$ obviously defined by the same expression with g replaced by $g(t)$. From the expressions above it is clear that the de Turck vector field $W(t)$ is a bounded $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$-regular combination of vector fields $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$. The loss of regularity from $\mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ in the components of $g(t)$ to $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ regularity in the components of the de Turck vector field is is due to single application of $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the definition of $W(t)$. Note that we cannot apply a second $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ derivative to the coefficients of $W(t)$, since the trace-free component $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ in the flow $g(t) = (1+u)g + \omega$ stays regular if $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is applied once, but doesn't remain Hölder regular if $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is applied twice.

The de Turck vector field defines a one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $\phi(t) : M \to M$ by the ordinary differential equation in the time parameter $t \in [0,T]$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(t) = W(t), \quad \phi(0) = \mathrm{id}_M.$$

Given the Ricci de Turck flow $g(t)$, one obtains a solution $g'(t)$ to the Ricci flow by setting $g'(t) := \phi(t)^* g(t)$. However, for any two vector fields X, Y, the action $\phi^* g(X,Y) = g(d\phi[X], d\phi[Y])$ involves additional derivatives applied to components of the de Turck vector field $W(t)$. As explained above, these components are only of $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathrm{ie}}$ Hölder regularity and hence we cannot deduce any regular behaviour of $g'(t)$ near the edge a priori.

Fortunately, the estimates (4.8) prove that ω stays bounded even if $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is applied twice, though it need not stay Hölder regular any longer. Same holds now for the components of the de Turck flow $W(t)$ as a linear combination of the a basis of vector fields in $x^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$. This proves the following short time existence statement.

Theorem 7.1. *Consider an admissible (α, k)-Hölder regular edge manifold (M, g) satisfying tangential stability. Then the Riemannian metric g may be evolved under the Ricci flow with $g' \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathrm{e}}(M \times [0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2({}^{ie}T^*M))$ on some finite time interval $t \in [0,T]$. Here $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathrm{e}}$ denotes the space of k-times differentiable continuous sections, bounded up to the edge, which stay bounded up to the edge under application of k-times $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$-derivatives.*

8. Evolution of the Riemannian curvature tensor along the flow

In this section we prove that the Riemannian curvature tensor of the Ricci flow metric $g'(t)$ is bounded along the flow for $t \in (0,T]$ when starting at an admissible Hölder regular edge manifold (M, g) with bounded Riemannian curvature. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Consider an admissible Hölder regular edge manifold (M, g) satisfying tangential stability. Consider the Ricci de Turck flow solution $g(t) = (1+u)g + \omega$ with*

ω traceless with respect to g. Denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of $g(t)$ and g by $R(g(t))$ and $R(g)$, respectively. Then the $(4,0)$ tensor acting on ${}^{ie}TM$

$$R(g(t)) - (1+u)R(g)$$

is bounded. In particular, boundedness of $R(g)$ implies boundedness of $R(g(t))$. Similarly, boundedness of the Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}(g)$ of the initial metric implies boundedness of the Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}(g(t))$ along the flow.

Proof. We will only write out the argument for the Riemannian curvature tensor. The argument for the Ricci curvature tensor is similar. Recall the following transformation rule for the Riemannian curvature tensor under conformal transformations

$$R(e^{2\phi}g) = e^{2\phi}\left(R(g) - \left[g \wedge \left(\nabla\partial\phi - \partial\phi\cdot\partial\phi + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 g\right)\right]\right), \tag{8.1}$$

where $\wedge$ refers to the Kulkarni-Nomizu product here. Setting $e^{2\phi} := (1+u)$, we conclude from the regularity properties of $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}(M\times[0,T])$ that $R((1+u)g) - (1+u)R(g)$ is indeed bounded, in fact with $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ Hölder regular coefficients. Now consider the full solution $g(t) = (1+u)g + \omega$ with the higher order term ω. Then, $R((1+u)g+\omega) - R((1+u)g)$ is an intricate combination of u and ω, involving their second order $x^{-2}\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2$ derivatives. As before in §7, ω is $O(x^2)$ as $x\to 0$ and stays bounded up to the edge singularity under application of $x^{-2}\,{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}}^2$ by (4.8). Consequently, $R((1+u)g+\omega) - R((1+u)g)$ is bounded up to the singularity, which proves the statement in view of (8.1). □

The Riemannian curvature tensor of the Ricci flow metric $g' = \phi^* g(t)$ is related to the curvature tensor of $g(t)$ by $R(g'(t)) = \phi^* R(g(t))$. Given boundedness of $R(g(t))$ we conclude boundedness of $R(g'(t))$. Assuming as before higher regularity of the initial data under $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ derivatives, yields a higher regularity in $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathrm{e}}$ spaces for the Riemannian curvature tensor.

9. Small perturbation of Ricci flat edge metrics

Let (M,h) be a Ricci-flat admissible (α,k)-Hölder regular edge manifold satisfying tangential stability. We define when an incomplete edge metric is an ε-close higher order perturbation of h.

Definition 9.1. An incomplete edge metric g on M is said to be an ε-close higher order perturbation of h, if $\|g-h\|_h = O(x^2)$ as $x\to 0$ and the Hölder norm of $(g-h)$ in the weighted Hölder space $x^2\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ is smaller or equal to $\varepsilon > 0$.

Note that such a higher order perturbation g of an admissible edge metric h with $\|g-h\|_h = O(x^2)$ as $x\to 0$, is automatically admissible as well.

We study Ricci flow of g, and in slight difference to §5 apply the Ricci de Turck trick with h as a background metric. This leads to the linearized parabolic equation (cf.

(5.2) with $\mathrm{scal}(h)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}'(h)$ being trivially zero for the Ricci flat metric h)

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t + (\Delta u \oplus \Delta_L \omega) = &\left(\left(-\frac{u}{1+u}\Delta u + \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,u)\right)\right.\\ &\left.\oplus\left(-\frac{u}{(1+u)}\Delta_L\omega\right)\right) + \frac{1}{x^2}O_2(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{}^2\,\omega)\\ &+ \frac{1}{x}O_1(\omega, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\omega)O_1(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) + O_2(x^{-1}\,\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\,u) =: F(u,w),\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where Δ and Δ_L are the Laplacians defined with respect to h, and we seek to find a solution $g(t) = (1+u)h \oplus \omega$ to that equation with initial condition $g(0) = g$. Here, as before $(1+u)h \oplus \omega$ denotes the decomposition into pure trace and trace-free components with respect to h. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9.2. *Let (M,h) be a Ricci-flat admissible edge manifold satisfying tangential stability and the first condition of Definition 1.4. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that if g is an ε-close higher order perturbation of h, then the Ricci de Turck flow $g(t)$ with h as background metric and $g(0) = g$ as initial condition exists inside the Banach space*[5]

$$\begin{aligned}H_k := &\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \cap \sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T])\\ &\oplus\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie},\Delta_{\mathrm{L}}} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}} \cap \sqrt{t}\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}\right)(M\times[0,T], \mathrm{Sym}^2_0({}^{ie}T^*M))\end{aligned}$$

for all times $t > 0$. Moreover there exists $\mu(\varepsilon) > 0$ sufficiently small, with $\mu(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as ε goes to zero, such that the Hölder norm of $(g(t) - h)$ in H_k is smaller or equal to $\mu(\varepsilon)$, uniformly in time $t \in [0,\infty)$.

Proof. The Ricci de Turck flow $g(t)$ with h as background metric and $g(0) = g$ as initial condition exists is a fixed point of the following map

$$\Psi : (u,\omega) \in H_k \mapsto \left(e^{-t\Delta} \oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\right) * F(u,\omega) + \left(e^{-t\Delta} \oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\right)(g-h) \in H_k,$$

where $*$ refers to the action of the heat operators with convolution in time, and $\kappa := \left(e^{-t\Delta} \oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\right)(g-h)$ uses the heat operators applied without time convolution. Note that $\kappa \in H_k$ since the estimates behind the mapping properties stablished in Theorem 4.4 can be carried over ad verbatim to the action of heat operators without convolution in time under the assumption that $(g-h)$ is bounded in $x^2\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$. In fact, the additional weight in $x^2\,\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{k},2+\alpha}_{\mathrm{ie}}$ accounts for the loss of the measure dt in the integral action of the heat operators without convolution in time.

In order to prove existence of a fixed point for Ψ, we restrict Ψ to a subset

$$Z_\mu := \{(u,\omega) \in H_k \mid \|(u,\omega)\|_{H_k} \leq \mu\}, \quad \mu > 0.$$

The terms in the linearization (9.1) are all quadratic in (u,ω), since the Ricci curvature terms of h vanish. Hence, for $\mu > 0$ sufficiently small, Ψ satisfies the contraction

[5]The weights $\sqrt{t}$ and $\sqrt{t}^{-1}$ refer to the Hölder norm estimates on finite time intervals.

mapping property

$$\|\Phi(u,\omega)-\Phi(u',\omega')\|_H \leq q\|(u,\omega)-(u',\omega')\|_H$$

with some positive $q<1$ for all (u,ω) and $(u',\omega')\in Z_\mu$. Taking $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small ensures that the H_k-norm of $\left(e^{-t\Delta}\oplus e^{-t\Delta_L}\right)(g-h)$ is smaller than $\mu/2$ and hence Ψ maps Z_μ to itself for $\mu=\mu(\varepsilon)>0$ sufficiently small. Hence, repeating the argument of [BaVe14, Theorem 4.1] ad verbatim, the fixed point exists in $Z_\mu\subset H_k$. Note that μ can be taken smaller the smaller we choose ε.

Note that in contrast to Theorem 5.1, we do not need to restrict to a finite time interval $[0,T]$ with $T>0$ sufficiently small and set up the fixed point argument in the Hölder space H_k for all times. This is due to the fact that all terms in the linearization of the Ricci de Turck flow (9.1) are at least quadratic and hence Φ maps Z_μ to itself for $\mu>0$ sufficiently small without additional restrictions on time. This is also the reason why the Hölder norm of $(g(t)-h)$ in H_k is bounded by μ uniformly for all times. □

References

[AkBo03] K. Akutagawa and B. Botvinnik, *Yamabe metrics on cylindrical manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 2, 259–333. MR 1982146 (2004e:53051)

[ACM12] Kazuo Akutagawa, Gilles Carron, and Rafe Mazzeo, *The Yamabe problem on stratified spaces*, arXiv:1210.8054 [math.DG] (2012)

[Bah10] Eric Bahuaud, *Ricci flow of conformally compact metrics*, arXiv:1011.2999 [math.AP] (2010)

[BaVe14] Eric Bahuaud and Boris Vertman, *Yamabe flow on manifolds with edges*, Math. Nachr. **287**, No. 23, 127 - 159 (2014)

[BaVe15] Eric Bahuaud and Boris Vertman, *Long time existence of the edge Yamabe flow*, in preparation (2016)

[BDV15] E. Bahuaud, E. Dryden, and B. Vertman, *Mapping properties of the heat operator on edge manifolds*, Math. Nachr. **288**, 2, 126-361 (2015)

[Bes08] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR 2371700 (2008k:53084)

[BoWi07] Christoph Böhm and Burkhard Wilking, *Nonnegatively curved manifolds with finite fundamental groups admit metrics with positive Ricci curvature*, GAFA **17**, 665-681 (2007)

[BoWi08] Christoph Böhm and Burkhard Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms*, Annals of Mathematics **167**, 1079?1097 (2008)

[CLN06] Bennett Chow, Peng Lu, Lei Ni *Hamilton's Ricci Flow* AMS, Grad. Studies Math **77** (2006)

[Del06] E. Delay, *TT-eigentensors for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on some asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds with warped product metrics* (2006)

[Don11] S. K. Donaldson, *Kähler metrics with cone singularities along a divisor*, Essays in Math. and Appl., p.49-79, Springer Heidelberg (2012)

[GeSw15] Jesse Gell-Redman, Jan Swoboda, *Spectral and Hodge theory of 'Witt' incomplete cusp edge spaces*, arXiv:1509.06359 [math.AP] (2015)

[GiTo10] Gregor Giesen and Peter M. Topping, *Ricci flow of negatively curved incomplete surfaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **38** (2010), no. 3-4, 357–367. MR 2647124 (2011d:53155)

[GiTo11] ———, *Existence of Ricci flows of incomplete surfaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2011), no. 10, 1860–1880. MR 2832165

[Gri01] D. Grieser, *Basics of the b-calculus*, Approaches to singular analysis (Berlin, 1999). Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **125** (2001), 30–84. MR 1827170 (2002e:58051)

[GMS12] Colin Guillarmou, Sergiu Moroianu and Jean-Marc Schlenker *The renormalized volume and uniformization of conformal structures*, preprint arXiv:1211.6705 [math.DG] (2012)

[Ham88] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Math. and General Relativity, Contemp. Math. **71**, AMS. Providence, RI (1988) 237-262

[Ham86] R. Hamilton, *Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator*, J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), no. 2, 153–179

[Jef05] T. Jeffres, *A maximum principle for parabolic equations on manifolds with cone singularities*, Adv. Geom. **5** (2005), no. 2 319-323

[JMR11] Thalia Jeffres, Rafe Mazzeo, and Yanir Rubinstein, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with edge singularities*, arXiv:1105.5216 [math.DG] (2011)

[KLP08a] K. Kirsten, P. Loya, and J. Park, *Exotic expansions and pathological properties of ζ-functions on conic manifolds,* with an appendix by B. Vertman, J. Geom. Anal. **18** (2008), no. 3, 835–888. MR 2420767 (2009j:58051)

[Les97] Lesch, M, *Operators of Fuchs type, conical singularities, and asymptotic methods*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik [Teubner Texts in Mathematics], vol. 136, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, 1997. MR 1449639 (98d:58174)

[LiZh14] Jiawei Liu, Xi Zhang, *The conical Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds*, arXiv:1402.1832 [math.DG] (2014)

[Maz91] Mazzeo, R. *Elliptic theory of differential edge operators. I*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), no. 10, 1615–1664. MR 1133743 (93d:58152)

[MRS11] Rafe Mazzeo, Yanir Rubinstein, and Natasha Sesum, *Ricci flow on surfaces with conic singularities*, arXiv:1306.6688 [math.DG](2013)

[MaVe12] Mazzeo, R.; Vertman, B. *Analytic Torsion on Manifolds with Edges*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1000–1040 MR 2955200

[Mel93] Melrose, R. B. *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 4, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993. MR 1348401 (96g:58180)

[Mel92] Melrose, R. *Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners.* Intl. Math. Research Notices, No. **3** (1992), 51-61. MR 1154213 (93i:58148)

[Sim13] Miles Simon, *Local smoothing results for the Ricci flow in dimensions two and three*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 4, 22632287.

[Tia12] Tian, G. *K-stability and Khler-Einstein metrics*. arXiv:1211.4669 (2012)

[Ver09] B. Vertman, *Zeta determinants for regular-singular Laplace-type operators*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), no. 8, 083515, 23. MR 2554443

[Yin10] Hao Yin, *Ricci flow on surfaces with conical singularities*, J. Geom. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 4, 970–995.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany
E-mail address: vertman@uni-muenster.de